

Teaching Evaluation Summary

Spring 2008
 Department of Economics
 University of California, Berkeley

Course: 1
 Instructor: ChristensenG
 Responses: 45

Course Statistics

Question	Class		Department	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
1. Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was: (1=much too slow, 4=about right, 7=much too fast)	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0
2. Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was: (1=poorly chosen, 4=satisfactory, 7=excellent)	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.3
3. From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be: (1=unfair, 4=satisfactory, 7=very conscientious)	5.2	5.0	5.1	5.2
4. All things considered, my Graduate Student Instructor for this course was: (1=poor, 4=average, 7=excellent)	5.6	6.0	5.3	5.5

Distribution of Responses to Question 4 – Teaching Ability

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Frequency	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.13	0.33	0.29	0.22

Teaching Evaluation Summary

Fall 2008
 Department of Economics
 University of California, Berkeley

Course: C110
 Instructor: ChristensenG
 Responses: 29

Course Statistics

Question	Class		Department	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
1. Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was: (1=much too slow, 4=about right, 7=much too fast)	4.07	4.00	4.17	4.00
2. Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was: (1=poorly chosen, 4=satisfactory, 7=excellent)	5.07	5.00	5.19	5.00
3. From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be: (1=unfair, 4=satisfactory, 7=very conscientious)	4.97	5.00	5.20	5.00
4. All things considered, my Graduate Student Instructor for this course was: (1=poor, 4=average, 7=excellent)	4.97	5.00	5.36	6.00

Distribution of Responses to Question 4 - Teaching Ability

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Frequency	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.34	0.21	0.28	0.10

Teaching Evaluation Summary

Spring 2009
 Department of Economics
 University of California, Berkeley

Course: 100B
 Instructor: ChristensenG
 Responses: 27

Course Statistics

Question	Class		Department	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
1. Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was: (1=much too slow, 4=about right, 7=much too fast)	4.19	4.00	4.23	4.00
2. Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was: (1=poorly chosen, 4=satisfactory, 7=excellent)	5.19	6.00	5.20	6.00
3. From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be: (1=unfair, 4=satisfactory, 7=very conscientious)	5.07	5.00	5.10	5.00
4. All things considered, my Graduate Student Instructor for this course was: (1=poor, 4=average, 7=excellent)	5.67	6.00	5.41	6.00

Distribution of Responses to Question 4 - Teaching Ability

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Frequency	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.15	0.48	0.22

Teaching Evaluation Summary - GSI

Fall 2009
 Department of Economics
 University of California, Berkeley

Course: 100B
 Instructor: ChristensenG
 Responses: 61

Course Statistics

Question	Class		Department	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
1. Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was: (1=much too slow, 4=about right, 7=much too fast)	4.18	4.00	4.24	4.00
2. Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was: (1=poorly chosen, 4=satisfactory, 7=excellent)	5.15	5.00	5.15	5.00
3. From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be: (1=unfair, 4=satisfactory, 7=very conscientious)	4.93	5.00	5.20	6.00
4. All things considered, my Graduate Student Instructor for this course was: (1=poor, 4=average, 7=excellent)	5.23	6.00	5.32	6.00

Distribution of Responses to Question 4 - Teaching Ability

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Frequency	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.17	0.22	0.38	0.13

Teaching Evaluation Summary

Spring 2010
 Department of Economics
 University of California, Berkeley

Course: 1
 Instructor: ChristensenG
 Responses: 44

Course Statistics

Question	Class		Department	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
1. Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was: (1=much too slow, 4=about right, 7=much too fast)	4.16	4.00	4.16	4.00
2. Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was: (1=poorly chosen, 4=satisfactory, 7=excellent)	4.50	5.00	5.09	5.00
3. From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be: (1=unfair, 4=satisfactory, 7=very conscientious)	4.95	5.00	4.92	5.00
4. All things considered, my Graduate Student Instructor for this course was: (1=poor, 4=average, 7=excellent)	5.16	6.00	5.24	5.50

Distribution of Responses to Question 4 - Teaching Ability

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Frequency	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.18	0.41	0.14