Inter-valley coherence and fluctuation mediated superconductivity in rhombohedral trilayer graphene

Shubhayu Chatterjee University of California, Berkeley

Quantum Materials 290K Seminar University of California, Berkeley September 8, 2021



In collaboration with:







Taige Wang UC Berkeley

Erez Berg Weizmann Inst. Mike Zaletel UC Berkeley

SC, T. Wang, E. Berg and M. P. Zaletel, arXiv:2009.00002

• Superconductivity was discovered in several distinct moire graphene platforms



Cao *et al,* Nature (2018), Lu *et al,* Nature (2019), Yankowitz *et al,* Science (2019), Chen *et al,* Nature (2019) Several others...

Figure: Carr *et al*, Nano Letters (2020)

Recently, correlated behavior and superconductivity have also been found in *non-moire* ABC trilayer graphene under a strong perpendicular electric field

Zhou, Xie *et al*, Nature (2021) Zhou *et al*, Nature (2021)

• Natural question: Are these related?



- Like monolayer graphene: ABC trilayer graphene has both valley and spin degrees of freedom (iso-spin)
- The metallic states at low doping show signatures of iso-spin symmetry breaking in quantum oscillations under large D
- Interestingly, superconductivity appears twice in the hole-doped phase diagram, both times on the cusp of iso-spin symmetry breaking



- Like monolayer graphene: ABC trilayer graphene have both valley and spin degrees of freedom (iso-spin)
- The metallic states at low doping show signatures of iso-spin symmetry breaking in quantum oscillations under large D



- Like monolayer graphene: ABC trilayer graphene have both valley and spin degrees of freedom (iso-spin)
- The metallic states at low doping show signatures of iso-spin symmetry breaking in quantum oscillations under large D



- Like monolayer graphene: ABC trilayer graphene have both valley and spin degrees of freedom (iso-spin)
- The metallic states at low doping show signatures of iso-spin symmetry breaking in quantum oscillations under large D
- SC1 appears at higher hole-doping, adjacent to a spin-unpolarized PIP phase. SC1 obeys Pauli limit, $\mu_B B_{\parallel,c} \sim k_B T_c$.

spin-polarized half metal

spin-unpolarized

n_e



- Like monolayer graphene: ABC trilayer graphene have both valley and spin degrees of freedom (iso-spin)
- The metallic states at low doping show signatures of iso-spin symmetry breaking in quantum oscillations under large D
- SC2 appears at lower hole-doping, and adjacent to spin-polarized phases. SC2 strongly violates the Pauli limit, $\mu_B B_{\parallel,c} \gg k_B T_c$



Zhou, Xie *et al*, Nature (2021) Zhou *et al*, Nature (2021)

• What are the broken symmetries in the PIP phases?

• What are the pairing symmetries of SC1 and SC2 that arise at the boundaries of PIP phases?

DGG_RHDGG_RH

• Do electronic correlations play any role in superconductivity?

• What are the broken symmetries in the PIP phases?

Inter-valley coherent order – spin-triplet SDW or spin-polarized CDW

• What are the pairing symmetries of SC1 and SC2 that arise at the boundaries of PIP phases?

DGG_RHDGG_RH

• Do electronic correlations play any role in superconductivity?

• What are the broken symmetries in the PIP phases?

Inter-valley coherent order - spin-triplet SDW or spin-polarized CDW

• What are the pairing symmetries of SC1 and SC2 that arise at the boundaries of PIP phases?

SC1: Spin-singlet, gapped chiral p + i p or nodal f-wave SC2: Spin-polarized, non-unitary p-wave/f-wave

• Do electronic correlations play any role in superconductivity?

• What are the broken symmetries in the PIP phases?

Inter-valley coherent order - spin-triplet SDW or spin-polarized CDW

• What are the pairing symmetries of SC1 and SC2 that arise at the boundaries of PIP phases?

SC1: Spin-singlet, gapped chiral p + i p or nodal f-wave SC2: Spin-polarized, non-unitary p-wave/f-wave

• Do electronic correlations play any role in superconductivity?

Possibly, near critical IVC fluctuations can act as pairing glue

• Band structure of ABC trilayer graphene: Cubic band touching at $K/K' \rightarrow 3$ Dirac cones (per valley/spin) \rightarrow gapped out by D



• DOS is enhanced by D at low doping



Zhang *et al,* PRB (2010)

• Band structure of ABC trilayer graphene: Cubic band touching at $K/K' \rightarrow 3$ Dirac cones (per valley/spin) \rightarrow gapped out by D



Zhang *et al,* PRB (2010)

• DOS is enhanced by D at low doping



• Interacting Hamiltonian in band-basis:

$$H = \sum_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{n,\tau,\mathbf{k}} \psi_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \psi_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V_{c}(\mathbf{q}) : \rho(\mathbf{q})\rho(-\mathbf{q}) :$$
$$\rho(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\tau,s,\mathbf{k}} \psi_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} [\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k})]^{nn'} \psi_{n',\tau,s,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}, \quad [\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k})]^{nn'} = \langle u_{n,\tau,\mathbf{k}} | u_{n',\tau,\mathbf{k}} \rangle$$

• Symmetries: $U(1)_c$, $U(1)_v$, C_3 , M_x , T, $SU(2)_s \rightarrow SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_-$ No Hund's coupling

• Interacting Hamiltonian in band-basis:

$$H = \sum_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{n,\tau,\mathbf{k}} \psi_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \psi_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V_{c}(\mathbf{q}) : \rho(\mathbf{q})\rho(-\mathbf{q}) :$$
$$\rho(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\tau,s,\mathbf{k}} \psi_{n,\tau,s,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} [\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k})]^{nn'} \psi_{n',\tau,s,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}, \quad [\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k})]^{nn'} = \langle u_{n,\tau,\mathbf{k}} | u_{n',\tau,\mathbf{k}} \rangle$$

- Symmetries: $U(1)_c$, $U(1)_v$, C_3 , M_x , T, $SU(2)_s \rightarrow SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_-$
- PIP phase near SC1:
 - (i) No spin-polarization,
 - (ii) No anomalous Hall effect (not valley-polarized)
- Inter-valley coherent (IVC) phase

$$\left(\langle \psi_{+,s,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}\psi_{-,s',\mathbf{k}}\rangle\neq0\right)$$

No Hund's coupling



Inter-valley coherent phases



- From time-reversal $\varepsilon_+(k) = \varepsilon_-(-k)$, but generally $\varepsilon_+(k) \neq \varepsilon_-(k) \rightarrow$ Local valley Zeeman-field $B_{VZ}(k) = \varepsilon_+(k) - \varepsilon_-(k)$
- IVC phase can gain kinetic energy by local *canting*
- Can compensate for energy penalty due to phase winding

Bultinck, SC et al, PRL (2020)

VP



Inter-valley coherent phases

• Hund's coupling arises from inter-valley scattering of electrons

$$H_{\text{Hund's}} = -J_H \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{s}_{+-}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{+-}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q})$$
$$\mathbf{s}_{+-}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{+-}(\mathbf{k}) \psi_{+,s,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{s}_{ss'} \psi_{-,s',\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}$$



• Surprisingly, distinct from usually assumed (symmetry-allowed) form:

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{Hund's}} = -\tilde{J}_H \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{s}_+(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_-(-\mathbf{q}) \qquad \mathbf{s}_\tau(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\tau\tau}(\mathbf{k}) \psi_{\tau,s,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{s}_{ss'} \psi_{\tau,s',\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}$$

• Directly favors spin-triplet IVC or SDW IVC when ferromagnetic

$$\mathbf{s}_{+-}(\mathbf{q}=0) = \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T}}$$

• Local repulsive Hubbard U gives rise to ferromagnetic $J_H > 0$, but local repulsive interactions disfavor a CDW/singlet-IVC

IVC fluctuation mediated superconductivity

IVC order parameter fluctuations can lead to superconductivity

$$H_{\rm IVC}^{\rm eff} = -\sum_{\mathbf{q}} g_{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Tr}[n^{\rm IV}(\mathbf{q})[n^{\rm IV}(\mathbf{q})]^{\dagger}], \quad g_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{g}{q^2 + \xi_{\rm IVC}^{-2}}$$

• Unconventional superconductivity is preferred by this mechanism





IVC fluctuation mediated superconductivity

What is T_c for unconventional superconductivity?

$$H_{\rm IVC}^{\rm eff} = -\sum_{\mathbf{q}} g_{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Tr}[n^{\rm IV}(\mathbf{q})[n^{\rm IV}(\mathbf{q})]^{\dagger}], \quad g_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{g}{q^2 + \xi_{\rm IVC}^{-2}}$$

$$g \sim \frac{\text{hole-density } n_h}{\text{DOS at Fermi surface}}$$

- Including Coulomb repulsion, prefers opposite sign on outer and inner Fermi surfaces
- Spin-singlet and spin-triplet superconductors are degenerate in the SU(2)₊ × SU(2)₋ limit



IVC fluctuation mediated superconductivity

- FM Hund's coupling amplifies SDW fluctuations → leads to *spin-singlet* superconductivity: consistent with SC1
- AFM Hund's coupling amplifies CDW fluctuations and leads to *spin-triplet* superconductivity perturbatively
- At large CDW fluctuations, *spin-singlet* swave superconductivity is preferred
- Spin-polarized IVC can lead to non-unitary spin-triplet p/f-wave superconductor by similar mechanism (consistent with SC2)



Scalapino, Phys. Reports (1995)



Experimental probes

• For IVC states, STM or its spin-polarized cousin to resolve charge (spin) density at the atomic scale







DGG_RHDGG_RH

Experimental probes

• For IVC states, STM or its spin-polarized cousin to resolve charge (spin) density at the atomic scale







- Unconventional superconductors are susceptible to disorder Abrikosov, Gorkov, JETP (1961)
- p + i p superconductors have spontaneous edge currents

Furusaki et al, PRB (2001)

 Measurement of magnetic noise by nearby single-spin qubit probes can distinguish gapped and gapless order parameters

> SC, Dolgirev *et al*, arXiv: 2106.03859, 2106.05283



Outlook

- Other theoretical predictions:
 - (i) Phonons (s or f-wave)

- Chou, Wu *et al*, arXiv:2106.13231
- (ii) Kohn Luttinger mechanism: chiral p-wave, also d/s wave gap changes signs between inner and outer Fermi surfaces

Ghazaryan, Holder *et al*, arXiv: 2009.00011

• (iii) Collective modes – unconventional (p-wave?)

Dong, Levitov arXiv:2109.01133

- Open questions:
 - Connections to more complicated systems like moire graphene
 - Possible realization of similar physics in related few-layer graphene platforms under perpendicular electric field

Thank you for your attention!

