Phil 108, Fourth Paper Topic

Due: Tuesday, <u>May 6</u>, by 9:40am. We will be having an optional review session for the final starting then. If you come to the review session, then you may submit your paper in the usual way. If not, please post your paper to bSpace by the due date and time. Note that the paper is due <u>one week later</u> than originally scheduled on the syllabus.

Maximum Length: Three double-spaced pages, 12-point font, one-inch margins

Choose one of the following:

- 1. Write no fourth paper at all, and take as the grade for your fourth paper the lowest grade among your first three papers.
 - For example, suppose you got B+, B+, A- on the first three papers. Your grades would be automatically B+, B+, A-, B+.
- 2. Rewrite one of the first three papers on which *either* you got your lowest grade *or* no higher than B.
 - You must hand in the original paper, with the comments if possible.
 - Your grade for the rewrite will count as your grade for the fourth paper.
 - For example, suppose you got B+, B+, A- on the first three papers. You rewrite the first paper, and get an A on the rewrite. Then your grades for the four papers be: B+, B+, A-, A.
- 3. Write a new paper on a topic of your choosing, provided that you first get Kirsten's approval for the topic by April 22.
- 4. Write a new paper on the following topic. In "Group Morality," Jackson describes cases in which, on the one hand, no individual's action makes a difference, but, on the other hand, intuitively a wrong action occurred. How does he propose to solve the paradox? Does he solve the paradox?