

Phil 2, First Paper Assignment

Maximum Length: No more than two pages, double spaced, 12-point font, one-inch margins

Due: Friday, February 12, by 3:10pm (before the lecture starts). Please hand in your paper, in person, to your GSI. *Please see the syllabus for other relevant policies.*

Request: We may circulate to the rest of the class a few papers, *with the authors' names removed*. These will be used as examples, both of things done especially well and of common mistakes. We would be grateful if you would allow us to do this. Everyone will benefit from it, most of all the students whose papers are used as examples. However, if you do *not* feel comfortable with this, then *please say so at the top of your paper*.

Also, if you are seriously considering dropping the class, or changing your grading option, please say so at the top of your paper.

Assignment:

Describe a scenario that *is* a counterexample to utilitarianism, but is *not* a counterexample to some alternative moral theory that consists of hedonism, consequentialism, and *some alternative to aggregation*.

Explain why your scenario is a counterexample to utilitarianism, but not to the alternative moral theory.