

# 104 HW 5

13.3

$$(a) d(x, y) = \sup \{ |x_j - y_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \} = \sup \{ |y_j - x_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \} = d(y, x)$$

since  $|x_j - y_j| = |y_j - x_j|$

$$d(x, y) = \sup \{ |x_j - y_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \}$$

so  $|x_j - y_j| \geq 0$  is a lower bound for sup  $\Rightarrow d(x, y) \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= \sup \{ |x_j - z_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \} = \sup \{ |x_j - y_j + y_j - z_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ |x_j - y_j| + |y_j - z_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \} \leq \sup \{ |x_j - y_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \} \\ &\quad + \sup \{ |y_j - z_j| : j=1, 2, \dots \} \\ &= d(x, y) + d(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

so  $d$  is a metric for  $\mathbb{R}$

(b) No. Consider the sequences  $(0, 0, 0, \dots) = x$

$(1, 1, 1, \dots) = y$

$d(x, y) = \infty \notin \mathbb{R}$  so the distance metric isn't even defined properly.

13.5

(a) consider an element  $u \in \bigcap \{ S \setminus U : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$

$$\Rightarrow u \in S \setminus U \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \notin U \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \notin \bigcup \{ U : U \in \mathcal{U} \} \quad \text{WVA}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \in S \setminus \bigcup \{ U : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$$

Consider an element  $u \in S \setminus \bigcup \{ U : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$

$$\Rightarrow u \notin \bigcup \{ U : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \notin U \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &u \in S \setminus U \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U} \\ \Rightarrow &u \in \bigcap \{ S \setminus U : U \in \mathcal{U} \} \end{aligned}$$

(b) In de Morgan's law, consider  $U$ 's to be open sets

$\Rightarrow S \setminus U$  is a closed set.

the left hand side is an intersection of many closed sets

~~A~~

Since the Union of finitely many open sets is an open set,

The right hand side becomes  $S \setminus \text{open set} = \text{closed set}$ .

So the intersection of any collection of closed sets is a closed set.

13.7

~~For some~~

Let  $U$  be the open set

for  $x \in U$  consider ~~the point~~

the smallest  $y_1 > x$  s.t.  $y_1 \notin U$

Consider the largest  $y_2 < x$  s.t.  $y_2 \in U$

Then the open ball with center  $x$ , radius  $\min(|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|)$  is fully contained in  $U$ .

By definition  $x$  has an open ball entirely in  $U$ . So

Consider all these open balls (unioning 2 if disjoint)

Consider all  $(y_1, y_2) \in U$ . The union of these forms  $U$ .

(4) It is clear that  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  since we can consider the constant sequence ~~that~~ for all elements in  $S_1$ .

Now we just need to show an element of  $S_2$  is in  $S_1$ .

Consider  $x \in S_2$  By definition there is some sequence  $p_n$  that converges in  $S_1$  to  $x$

$p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$

Now write out the sequences from  $S_1$  that formed  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  and limits

$x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots$   
 $x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots$   
 $\vdots$

we can take the diagonal sequence to  $\uparrow$  get a new one converging to  $x \Rightarrow x \in S_1$ .

So  $S_1 = S_2$

⑤ Since  $\bar{S}$  is closed and it contains  $S$ , it remains to show that all closed subsets of  $X$  that contain  $S$  also contain  $\bar{S}$ .

If a closed subset of  $X$  contains  $S$ , by definition it must contain all of  $S$ 's subsequential points  $\Rightarrow$  it contains  $\bar{S}$  so we are done.

~~□~~