# Math 104 Homework 2

Cameron Shotwell

January 4, 2022

### **Ross 9.9**

Suppose there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ 

(a) Theorem: If  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ , then  $\lim t_n = +\infty$ .

**Proof:** Suppose there exists sequences  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  such that there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ . Furthermore,  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ . This implies that for each M > 0 there is a number N such that n > N implies  $s_n > M$ . Define N' such that  $N' = max(\{N, N_o\})$ . For all n > N',  $t_n \geq s_n > M$ ; therefore,  $\lim t_n = +\infty$ .

(b) Theorem: If  $\lim t_n = -\infty$ , then  $\lim s_n = -\infty$ .

**Proof:** Suppose there exists sequences  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  such that there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ . Furthermore,  $\lim t_n = -\infty$ . This implies that for each M > 0 there is a number N such that n > N implies  $s_n < M$ . Define N' such that  $N' = max(\{N, N_o\})$ . For all n > N',  $s_n \leq t_n < M$ ; therefore,  $\lim s_n = -\infty$ .

(c) Theorem: If  $\lim s_n$  and  $\lim t_n$  exist, then  $\lim s_n \leq \lim t_n$ . **Proof:** 

First I will prove that for any converging sequence b(n), if  $b_n \ge a$  for all but finitely many n, then  $\lim b_n \ge a$ . Let b(n) be a converging sequence such that  $b_n < a$  for finitely many n. Let the set of all such n be denoted  $A = \{n|b_n < a\} = \{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k\}$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, let  $N_0 = \max A$ . Since  $(b_n)$  converges, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a number N such that for all n > N,  $|b_n - b| < \epsilon$  where  $b = \lim b_n$ . Let  $N \ge N_0$  and assume for the sake of contradiction that b < a. This implies that a - b > 0. So consider some  $\epsilon = a - b > 0$ .

$$|b_n - b| < a - b \Rightarrow b_n - b < a - b \Rightarrow b_n < a$$

However, we have reached a contradiction because  $n > N_0 \Rightarrow b_n \ge a$ . Therefore, by way of contradiction,  $b = \lim b_n \ge a$ .

Separately, suppose there exists sequences  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  such that there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $\lim s_n$  and  $\lim t_n$  exist. Now define  $b_n = t_n - s_n$ .  $s_n \leq t_n$  implies that  $b_n = t_n - s_n \geq 0$  for  $n > N_0$ ; therefore,  $\lim b_n \geq 0$ . This implies that  $\lim t_n - \lim s_n \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lim t_n \geq \lim s_n$ .

### Ross 9.15

**Theorem:**  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . **Proof:** 

First, I will prove that if all  $s_n \neq 0$  and the limit  $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}| < 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = 0$ . Assume all  $s_n \neq 0$  and the limit  $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}| < 1$ . Consider some a such that L < a < 1. By the definition of limit, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N_0$  that for  $n > N_0$ ,

$$\begin{split} ||\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}| - L| < \epsilon \\ \Rightarrow |\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}| - L < \epsilon \Rightarrow |\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}| < \epsilon + L \end{split}$$

Consider some  $\epsilon = a - L > 0$ .

$$\left|\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}\right| < a \Rightarrow |s_{n+1}| < a|s_n|$$

Define  $N = N_0 + 1$ . Next, we want to prove  $|s_{N+k}| < a^k |s_N|$  for  $k \ge 1$  by induction. For the base case,  $|s_{N+1}| < a |s_N|$ . For the induction step, assume

$$|s_{N+k}| < a^k |s_N|$$
  

$$\Rightarrow a|s_{N+k}| < a^{k+1}|s_N| \Rightarrow |s_{N+k+1}| < a|s_{N+k}| < a^{k+1}|s_N|$$
  

$$\Rightarrow |s_{N+k+1}| < a^{k+1}|s_N|$$

This concludes the induction step, proving  $|s_{N+k}| < a^k |s_N|$  for  $k \ge 1$ . We can rewrite as  $|s_n| < a^{n-N} |s_N|$  for n > N. The sequence  $(b_n)_{n=N}^{\infty}$  where  $b_n = a^{n-N}$  converges to 0 since a < 1; therefore, the series  $|s_N| * (b_n)$  also converges to 0. By the definition of limit, for some  $\epsilon' > 0$ , there exists an N that for n > N',  $|a^{n-N}|s_N| - 0| < \epsilon'$ . This implies that for  $n > max(\{N', N\}), |s_n| < a^{n-N} |s_N| < \epsilon' \Rightarrow |s_n - 0| < \epsilon'$ . This means the lim  $s_n = 0$ .

Now for the proof you actually came here for. Suppose there is a sequence  $(t_n)$  such that  $t_n = \frac{a^n}{n!}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . There are now two cases. If a = 0,  $t_n = 0$  and  $\lim t_n = 0$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $t_n \neq 0$ .

$$L = \lim \left| \frac{t_{n+1}}{t_n} \right| = \lim \left| \frac{\frac{a^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{a^n}{n!}} \right| = \lim \left| \frac{a}{n+1} \right| = 0 < 1$$

Therefore,  $\lim t_n = 0$ .

## **Ross 10.7**

**Theorem:** S is a bounded nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that sup S is not in S. Prove there is a sequence  $(s_n)$  of points in S such that  $\lim s_n = \sup S$ . **Proof:** 

Let S be a bounded nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup S \notin S$ . Let  $(t_n)$  be a sequence such that  $t_n = \sup S$ . And let  $(u_n)$  be the sequence such that  $u_n = t_n + \frac{1}{n}$ . By the definition of

supremum, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some element  $s \in S$  such that  $s > \sup S - \epsilon$ . Since 1/n > 0, we can define the sequence  $(s_n)$  such that  $s_n \in S$  and  $s_n > \sup S - \frac{1}{n}$ ; therefore,  $s_n > u_n$  for all n. Furthermore,  $\sup S \ge s$  for all  $s \in S$ . This implies that  $t_n \ge s_n > u_n$ . Since  $(t_n)$  and  $(u_n)$  converge to  $\sup S$ ,  $(s_n)$  converges to  $\sup S$  by the squeeze lemma.

# **Ross 10.8**

**Theorem:** Let  $(s_n)$  be an increasing sequence of positive numbers and define  $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + ... + s_n)$ .  $(\sigma_n)$  is an increasing sequence. **Proof:** 

Let  $(s_n)$  be an increasing sequence of positive numbers and define  $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n)$ .

First, I will prove by induction that  $\sigma_n \leq s_n$  for all n. Let P(n) be the statement " $\sigma_n \leq s_n$ ". For the base case, P(1):

$$\sigma_1 = s_1 \le s_1$$

For the induction step,  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ , assume P(n):  $\sigma_n \leq s_n$ . Since  $(s_n)$  is an increasing sequence,

$$\frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n) \le s_n \le s_{n+1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n \le ns_{n+1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n + s_{n+1} \le ns_{n+1} + s_{n+1} = (n+1)s_{n+1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n+1}(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n + s_{n+1}) \le s_{n+1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{n+1} < s_{n+1}$$

The above statement is P(n+1), proving  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ . By the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) holds for all positive integers n.

Separately, consider the difference  $\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n$ :

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n &= \frac{1}{n+1} (s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_n + s_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{n} (s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_n) \\ \Rightarrow \sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n &= \frac{s_{n+1}}{n+1} + \frac{n}{n(n+1)} (s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_n) - \frac{n+1}{n(n+1)} (s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_n) \\ \Rightarrow \sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n &= \frac{s_{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} (s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_n) \\ \Rightarrow (n+1)(\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n) &= s_{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} (s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_n) \\ \Rightarrow (n+1)(\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n) &= s_{n+1} - \sigma_n \end{split}$$

Using the fact that  $(s_n)$  is an increasing sequence, we can say that  $s_{n+1} \ge s_n \ge \sigma_n$ ; therefore  $s_{n+1} - \sigma_n \ge 0$  which implies that

$$(n+1)(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_n) \ge 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_{n+1} \ge \sigma_n$$

for all n; therefore,  $(\sigma_n)$  is an increasing sequence.

## **Ross 10.9**

Let  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) s_n^2$  for  $n \ge 1$ . (a):

$$s_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * 1^2 \Rightarrow s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$s_3 = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) * \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \Rightarrow s_3 = \frac{1}{6}$$
$$s_4 = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) * \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \Rightarrow s_4 = \frac{1}{48}$$

# (b) Theorem: $\lim s_n$ exists. Proof:

 $s_n^2 \ge 0$  and  $\frac{n}{n+1} \ge 0$  implies that  $s_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) s_n^2 \ge 0$ . This in combination with the fact that  $s_1 = 1 \ge 0$  implies that  $(s_n)$  is bounded below by 0.

Next I will prove by induction that  $s_n \leq 1$  for all n. Let P(n) be the statement that  $s_n \leq 1$ . For the base case, P(1),

 $s_1 = 1 \le 1$ 

For the induction step,  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ , Assume P(n).  $0 \le s_n \le 1 \Rightarrow s_n^2 \le 1$ . Furthermore,  $n+1 > n \Rightarrow 0 \le \frac{n}{n+1} < 1$ ; therefore,  $s_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) s_n^2 \le 1$ . The previous statement is P(n+1), proving  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ . By the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) holds for all positive integers n.

Separately,  $s_n \leq 1$  implies 1 is an upper bound for  $(s_n)$ . Also,  $0 \leq s_n \leq 1$  implies that  $s_n^2 \leq s_n$  and since  $\frac{n}{n+1} < 1$ ,  $s_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) s_n^2 \leq s_n$ ; therefore,  $(s_n)$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence. Since  $(s_n)$  is monotic and bounded,  $\lim s_n$  exists.

(c) Theorem:  $s = \lim s_n = 0$ 

**Proof:** Taking the limit of  $s_n$  using its recursive definition, we get

$$\lim s_{n+1} = \lim \left( \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right) s_n^2 \right)$$
$$\lim s_{n+1} = \lim \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right) \lim s_n^2$$
$$s = s^2 \Rightarrow s = 0, 1$$

Since  $(s_n)$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence with  $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}, s \neq 1 \Rightarrow s = 0$ .

### Ross 10.10

Let  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1)$  for  $n \ge 1$ .

(a)

$$s_2 = \frac{1}{3}(1+1) \Rightarrow s_2 = \frac{2}{3}$$
$$s_3 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2}{3}+1\right) \Rightarrow s_3 = \frac{5}{9}$$
$$s_4 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{5}{9}+1\right) \Rightarrow s_4 = \frac{14}{27}$$

(b) Theorem:  $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$  for all n. Proof: Let P(n) be the statement " $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$ ". Base Case: P(1)

$$s_1 = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$$

Induction Step:  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ Assume P(n).

$$s_n > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow s_n + 1 > \frac{3}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) > \frac{1}{2}$$

The above statement is P(n+1), proving  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ . By the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) holds for all positive integers n.

(c) Theorem:  $(s_n)$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence. Proof:

First, I will prove by induction that  $s_n = \frac{3^{n-1}+1}{2*3^{n-1}}$  for all n. Let P(n) be the statement " $s_n = \frac{3^{n-1}+1}{2*3^{n-1}}$ ". For the base case, P(1),

$$s_1 = \frac{3^{1-1}+1}{2*3^{1-1}} = \frac{1+1}{2*1} = 1$$

For the induction step,  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ , assume P(n).

$$s_n = \frac{3^{n-1} + 1}{2 * 3^{n-1}} \Rightarrow s_n + 1 = \frac{3^{n-1} + 1}{2 * 3^{n-1}} + 1 = \frac{3^{n-1} + 1 + 2 * 3^{n-1}}{2 * 3^{n-1}} = \frac{3^n + 1}{2 * 3^{n-1}}$$
$$s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) = \frac{1}{3} * \frac{3^n + 1}{2 * 3^{n-1}} = \frac{3^n + 1}{2 * 3^n}$$

The above statement is P(n+1), proving  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ . By the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) holds for all positive integers n.

Cameron Shotwell ID: 3035907666 Math 104

Separately, consider  $s_{n+1}$ .

$$s_{n+1} = \frac{3^n + 1}{2 * 3^n} = \frac{3^n}{2 * 3^n} + \frac{1}{2 * 3^n} \le \frac{3^n}{2 * 3^n} + \frac{1}{2 * 3^{n-1}}$$
$$\Rightarrow s_{n+1} \le \frac{3^n}{2 * 3^n} + \frac{1}{2 * 3^{n-1}} = \frac{3^{n-1}}{2 * 3^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2 * 3^{n-1}} = \frac{3^{n-1} + 1}{2 * 3^{n-1}} = s_n$$

 $s_{n+1} \leq s_n$  for all *n* implies  $(s_n)$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence.

(d) Theorem:  $\lim s_n$  exists and  $s = \lim s_n = \frac{1}{2}$ . Proof:

Since  $(s_n)$  is monotonically decreasing, it is bounded above by  $s_1 = 1$ . From (b),  $(s_n)$  is bounded below by  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $(s_n)$  is monotonic and bounded,  $\lim s_n$  exists. Taking the limit of the recursive definition of  $s_{n+1}$ ,

$$\lim s_{n+1} = \lim \frac{1}{3}(s_n+1) \Rightarrow s = \frac{1}{3}(s+1) \Rightarrow s = \frac{1}{2}$$

### Ross 10.11

Let  $t_1 = 1$  and  $t_{n+1} = (1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}) t_n$ 

(a) Theorem:  $\lim t_n$  exists.

First, I'll prove the claim that  $(t_n)$  is bounded below by 0 by induction. Let P(n) be the statement " $t_n > 0$ ". For the base case, P(1):

 $t_1 = 1 > 0$ 

For the induction step,  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ , assume P(n). Using the fact that  $n \ge 1$ .

$$4n^2>1\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4n^2}<1\Rightarrow 1-\frac{1}{4n^2}>0$$

 $t_n > 0$  implies that  $t_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right)t_n > 0$ . The previous statement is P(n+1), proving  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ . By the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) holds for all positive integers n. Separately,  $\frac{1}{4n^2} > 0$  and  $t_n > 0$  implies  $-\frac{1}{4n^2} * t_n < 0$ . Adding  $t_n$  to both sides of the previous inequality reveals

$$t_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right)t_n = t_n - \frac{1}{4n^2} * t_n < t_n$$

 $t_{n+1} < t_n$  implies  $(t_n)$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence. This further implies that  $t_1 = 1$  is an upper bound for  $(t_n)$ . Since  $(t_n)$  is monotonic and bounded,  $\lim t_n$  exists.

(b) Since  $(t_n)$  is monotonically decreasing and bounded below by 0, I am going to guess that  $\lim t_n = 0$ . It turns out the limit is  $\frac{2}{\pi}$ . I was definitely off. What an L.

# Squeeze Lemma:

**Theorem:** Let  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$ ,  $c_n$  be three sequences such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  and  $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n$ .  $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = L$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $a_n, b_n, c_n$  be three sequences such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  and  $L = \lim a_n = \lim c_n$ .  $L = \lim a_n$  implies that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N_a$  such that for  $n > N_a$ ,  $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ . This implies that  $-\epsilon < a_n - L \Rightarrow -\epsilon + L < a_n$ . Similarly, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N_c$  such that for  $n > N_c$ ,  $|c_n - L| < \epsilon$ . This implies that  $c_n - L < \epsilon \Rightarrow c_n < \epsilon + L$ . Now consider  $n > N_b = \max(\{N_a, N_c\})$ .  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  implies

$$-\epsilon + L < a_n \le b_n \le c_n < \epsilon + L$$
$$-\epsilon + L < b_n < \epsilon + L$$
$$-\epsilon < b_n - L < \epsilon$$
$$|b_n - L| < \epsilon \text{ for } n > N_b$$

Therefore,  $\lim b_n = L$ .