

9.9 Suppose $\exists N_0$ such that $s_n \leq t_n$ for $\forall n > N_0$

(a) Let $\lim s_n = +\infty$.

By Definition 9.8, for each $M > 0$, there is a number N_1 such that $n > N_1$ implies $s_n > M$.

Let $N = \max \{N_0, N_1\}$.

Then $n > N$ implies $M < s_n \leq t_n$

Thus, for each $M > 0$, $n > N$ implies $t_n > M$.

Therefore, $\lim t_n = +\infty$

(b) Let $\lim t_n = -\infty$.

By Definition 9.8, for each $M < 0$, there is a number N_1 such that $n > N_1$ implies $t_n < M$.

Let $N = \max \{N_0, N_1\}$

Then $n > N$ implies $s_n \leq t_n < M$

Thus, for each $M < 0$, $n > N$ implies $s_n < M$.

Therefore, $\lim s_n = -\infty$

(c) Let $\lim s_n = s$ $\lim t_n = t$, need to show $s \leq t$.

Then $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n > N_1$ implies $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon$

$\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n > N_2$ implies $|t_n - t| < \varepsilon$

$$s - \varepsilon < s_n < s + \varepsilon \quad t - \varepsilon < t_n < t + \varepsilon$$

Let $N = \max \{N_0, N_1, N_2\}$, $n > N$ implies

$$|s_n - s| < \varepsilon \quad |t_n - t| < \varepsilon \quad s_n \leq t_n$$

Suppose $s > t$ (proof by contradiction)

$$\text{Let } \varepsilon = \frac{s-t}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{s+t}{2} < s_n < \frac{3s-t}{2} \quad \frac{3t-s}{2} < t_n < \frac{s+t}{2}$$

$\Rightarrow s_n > t_n$ which contradicts with $s_n \leq t_n \quad \forall n > N_0$

Therefore, $s \leq t$, that is $\lim s_n \leq \lim t_n$.

9.15 Show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$

Let $s_n = \frac{a^n}{n!}$

$$\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} = \frac{a^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{a^n} = \frac{a}{n+1}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a}{n+1} = a \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} = a \cdot 0 = 0 < 1$$

By Archimedean Principle, $\exists k$ such that $0 < k < 1$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}$ exists, then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > N$ implies $\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} < k$

So $s_{n+1} < ks_n$ for $n > N$

$$s_{N+1} < ks_N \quad s_{N+2} < ks_{N+1} < k \cdot ks_N = k^2 s_N$$

$$\Rightarrow s_n < k^{n-N} s_N$$

Since $0 < k < 1$, $k^{n-N} \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$, that is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} = 0$.

10.7 By definition of supremum, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists s \in S$ such that $\sup S - s < \varepsilon$.

which implies $s_n > \sup S - \varepsilon$.

Thus $\sup S - \varepsilon$ is not an upper bound of s_n .

Take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$.

$\exists s_n \in S$ such that $\sup S - \frac{1}{n} < s_n < \sup S$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup S - \frac{1}{n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup S - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = \sup S - 0 = \sup S$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup S) = \sup S$$

By squeeze theorem, $\lim s_n = \sup S$.

10.8 To prove (G_n) is an increasing sequence, need to show $G_n \leq G_{n+1} \quad \forall n$.

Since (S_n) is an increasing sequence of positive numbers, $S_n \leq S_{n+1} \forall n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n &= \frac{1}{n+1}(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n) \\&= \frac{1}{n(n+1)}[n(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{n+1}) - (n+1)(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n)] \\&= \frac{1}{n(n+1)}[(ns_{n+1} - (s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n))] \\&= \frac{1}{n(n+1)}[(s_{n+1} - s_1) + (s_{n+1} - s_2) + \dots + (s_{n+1} - s_n)] \\&\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_{n+1} \geq \sigma_n \forall n$.

Therefore, (σ_n) is an increasing sequence.

10.9 (a) $s_2 = \frac{1}{1+1}s_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}$

$$s_3 = \frac{2}{2+1}s_2^2 = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$s_4 = \frac{3}{3+1}s_3^2 = \frac{1}{48}$$

(b) By Corollary 10.5, if (s_n) is a monotone sequence, then $\lim s_n$ is always meaningful.

Claim: (s_n) is a monotonic decreasing sequence.

Proof by induction.

Basis: $n=1 \quad s_1 > s_2$

Inductive step: Assume $s_n > s_{n+1}$ holds, remains to show $s_{n+1} > s_{n+2}$

$$\begin{aligned}s_{n+1} - s_{n+2} &= \frac{n}{n+1}s_n^2 - \frac{n+1}{n+2}s_{n+1}^2 \\&= \frac{n}{n+1}s_n^2 - \frac{n+1}{n+2}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}s_n^2\right)^2 \\&= \frac{n}{n+1}s_n^2 - \frac{n^2}{(n+1)(n+2)}s_n^4 \\&= \frac{n}{n+1}s_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{n+2}s_n^2\right) \\&> 0\end{aligned}$$

$0 < s_n < s_1 = 1$
 $\Rightarrow 0 < s_n^2 < 1 \quad \frac{n}{n+2} < 1$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{n}{n+2}s_n^2 < 1$

$$\Rightarrow s_{n+1} > s_{n+2}$$

By principle of mathematical induction, $s_n > s_{n+1} \forall n$.

Thus, (S_n) is a monotonic decreasing sequence.

(S_n) is also bounded $0 < S_n \leq 1$.

By Theorem 10.2, (S_n) is convergent, thus $\lim S_n$ exists.

(c) Let $\lim S_n = S$.

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \lim S_{n+1} = \lim \frac{n}{n+1} S_n^2 = \lim \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \lim S_n^2 \\ &= 1 \cdot \lim S_n^2 = S^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S = 1 \text{ or } S = 0$$

Since (S_n) is monotonic decreasing and $S_2 < 1$, it is impossible to have $\lim S_n = 1$.

Therefore $\lim S_n = 0$.

10.10 (a) $S_2 = \frac{1}{3}(S_1 + 1) = \frac{2}{3}$

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{3}(S_2 + 1) = \frac{5}{9}$$

$$S_4 = \frac{1}{3}(S_3 + 1) = \frac{14}{27}$$

(b) Basis step: $n=1 \quad S_1 = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$

Inductive step: Assume $S_n > \frac{1}{2}$, need to show $S_{n+1} > \frac{1}{2}$.

$$S_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(S_n + 1) = \frac{1}{3}S_n + \frac{1}{3}$$

$$> \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, $S_{n+1} > \frac{1}{2}$ if $S_n > \frac{1}{2}$.

By principle of mathematical induction, $S_n > \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n$.

$$\begin{aligned} (c) \quad S_n - S_{n+1} &= S_n - \frac{1}{3}(S_n + 1) = S_n - \frac{1}{3}S_n - \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{2}{3}S_n - \frac{1}{3} \\ &> \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_n > S_{n+1} \quad \forall n$$

Therefore, (S_n) is a decreasing sequence.

(c) By part (b) (c), (S_n) is monotonic decreasing and bounded sequence, thus (S_n) is convergent and $\lim S_n$ exists.

Let $\lim S_n = s$.

$$s = \lim S_{n+1} = \lim \left(\frac{1}{3}(S_n + 1) \right) = \frac{1}{3} \lim (S_n + 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}(\lim S_n + 1) = \frac{1}{3}(s + 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow s = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, $\lim S_n = \frac{1}{2}$.

10.11 (a) $t_1 = 1 - t_{n+1} = (1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}) t_n$

Since $0 < \frac{1}{4n^2} < 1$, then $0 < 1 - \frac{1}{4n^2} < 1$.

$$\Rightarrow t_{n+1} = (1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}) t_n < t_n.$$

Thus, (t_n) is a monotonic decreasing sequence.

Since $t_1 = 1$, $0 < 1 - \frac{1}{4n^2} < 1$, then $0 < t_n \leq 1$.

Thus, (t_n) is bounded.

By Theorem 10.2, (t_n) is convergent, so $\lim t_n$ exists.

(b) $0 < \lim t_n < 1$

$$t_1 = 1 - t_2 = \frac{3}{4} = 0.75 \quad t_3 = \frac{45}{64} = 0.7031 \quad t_4 = \frac{25 \cdot 45}{36 \cdot 64} = 0.6836$$

$$t_5 = \frac{63 \cdot 35 \cdot 45}{64 \cdot 36 \cdot 64} = 0.6729 \quad \dots$$

$$\lim t_n = 0.6 ?$$

Squeeze test. Let a_n, b_n, c_n be three sequences, such that $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$, and $L = \lim a_n = \lim c_n$. Show that $\lim b_n = L$.

Proof: Since $L = \lim a_n = \lim c_n$.

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > N_1$ implies $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$

$\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > N_2$ implies $|c_n - L| < \varepsilon$

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$

$n > N$ implies $L - \varepsilon < a_n < L + \varepsilon \quad L - \varepsilon < c_n < L + \varepsilon$

Since $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ whenever $n > N$,

then $b_n \geq a_n > L - \varepsilon, b_n \leq c_n < L + \varepsilon$, so $L - \varepsilon < b_n < L + \varepsilon$.

$\Rightarrow |b_n - L| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n > N$

Therefore $\lim b_n = L$.