

# MATH 104 HW1

Jad Damaj

January 2022

## 1 Hw 2

**Exercise 1.1** (Ross 9.9). Suppose there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ .

- (a) Prove that if  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ , then  $\lim t_n = +\infty$ .
- (b) Prove that if  $\lim t_n = -\infty$ , then  $\lim s_n = -\infty$ .
- (c) Prove that if  $\lim s_n$  and  $\lim t_n$  exist, then  $\lim s_n \leq \lim t_n$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. Since  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ , we can choose  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$ , then  $s_n > M$ . Taking  $N = \max\{N_0, N_1\}$ , we see that if  $n > N$ , then  $t_n \geq s_n > M$  so  $\lim t_n = +\infty$ .
- (b) Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. Since  $\lim t_n = -\infty$ , we can choose  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$ , then  $t_n < M$ . Taking  $N = \max\{N_0, N_1\}$ , we see that if  $n > N$ , then  $s_n \leq t_n < M$  so  $\lim s_n = -\infty$ .
- (c) Let  $\lim s_n = s$  and  $\lim t_n = t$ . Suppose for contradiction,  $s > t$ . Then,  $s - t = \varepsilon > 0$  and we can choose  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$ , then  $|s_n - s| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $N_2$  such that if  $n > N_2$ , then  $|t_n - t| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . This implies that if we take  $N = \max\{N_0, N_1, N_2\}$  and  $n > N$ , then

$$t - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < t_n < t + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = s - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < s_n < s + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

This contradicts our assumption that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for  $n > N_0$  so we must have  $\lim s_n \leq \lim t_n$ .

□

**Exercise 1.2** (Ross 9.15). Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* First, observe that if  $a = 0$ , this gives a sequence of all 0s which converges to 0.

Suppose  $a \neq 0$ . If  $a > 0$ , then by the Archimedean principle we can choose  $a'$

such that  $a'$  is an integer and  $a' \geq a$ . Also note that for all  $n$ ,  $0 < \frac{a^n}{n!} \leq \frac{(a')^n}{n!}$  so to show  $\lim \frac{a^n}{n!} = 0$ , it suffices to show  $\lim \frac{(a')^n}{n!} = 0$ . Observe that for  $n > 2a'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(a')^n}{n!} &= \frac{(a')^{2a'} (a')^{n-2a'}}{(2a')!(2a'+1)(2a'+2)\cdots(2a'+(n-2a'))} \\ &\leq \frac{(a')^{2a'} (a')^{n-2a'}}{(2a')!(2a')(2a')\cdots(2a')} \\ &= \frac{(a')^{2a'}}{(2a')!2^{n-2a'}} = \frac{(a')^{2a'}2^{2a'}}{(2a')!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , be arbitrary. Since  $\lim \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$ , we can choose  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{(2a')!}{(2a')^{2a'}} \iff \frac{(2a')^{2a'}}{(2a')!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon.$$

Taking  $N = \max\{2a, N_1\}$ , we see that if  $n > N$ ,  $\frac{(a')^n}{n!} \leq \frac{(2a')^{2a'}}{(2a')!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon$  so  $\lim \frac{(a')^n}{n!} = 0$ .

Similarly, if  $a < 0$ , we see that  $-a > 0$  so by the above reasoning  $\lim \frac{(-a)^n}{n!} = 0$  so  $\lim -\frac{a^n}{n!} = 0$  as well. Since for all  $n$ ,  $\frac{a^n}{n!} = \frac{(-a)^n}{n!}$  or  $= -\frac{a^n}{n!}$  and both sequences converge to 0,  $\frac{a^n}{n!}$  converges to 0 as well.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.3** (Ross 10.7). Let  $S$  be a nonempty bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup S$  is not in  $S$ . Prove there is a sequence  $(s_n)$  of points in  $S_n$  that  $\lim s_n = \sup S$ .

*Proof.* Construct the sequence as follows: Let  $s_i$  be a point in  $S$  such that  $\sup S - \frac{1}{i} < s_i < \sup S$ . Such a point exists by definition of  $\sup S$  and since  $\sup S \notin S$ .

Considering the sequence  $(s_n)$  defined above, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. By the archimedean principle there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$ . So by construction, we see that for  $n > N$ ,  $|s_n - \sup S| < \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$  so  $\lim s_n = \sup S$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.4** (Ross 10.8). Let  $(s_n)$  be an increasing sequence of positive numbers and define  $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + \cdots + s_n)$ . Prove  $(\sigma_n)$  is an increasing sequence.

*Proof.* We will show  $\sigma_n \leq \sigma_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . First, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n \leq \sigma_{n+1} &\iff \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + \cdots + s_n) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}(s_1 + \cdots + s_n + s_{n+1}) \\ &\iff (n+1)(s_1 + \cdots + s_n) \leq n(s_1 + \cdots + s_n + s_{n+1}) \\ &\iff s_1 + \cdots + s_n \leq ns_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality holds since  $s_{n+1} \geq s_m$  for all  $m < n+1$  so  $s_1 + \cdots + s_n \leq s_{n+1} + \cdots + s_{n+1} = ns_{n+1}$ . Thus,  $\sigma_n \leq \sigma_{n+1}$  for all  $n$  so the sequence is increasing.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.5** (Ross 10.9). Let  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)s_n^2$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

- (a) Find  $s_2, s_3$ , and  $s_4$ .
- (b) Show  $\lim s_n$  exists.
- (c) Prove  $\lim s_n = 0$ .

*Proof.*

(a)  $s_1 = 1, s_2 = \frac{1}{2}, s_3 = \frac{1}{6}, s_4 = \frac{1}{48}$ .

- (b) To show  $(s_n)$  converges it suffices to show it is monotone and bounded.

Claim:  $1 \geq s_n \geq s_{n+1} \geq 0 \forall n$ .

We will proceed by induction.

Basis Step: Observe that  $P(1)$  is true since  $1 \geq 1 = s_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} = s_2 \geq 0$ .

Inductive Step: Assume  $P(n)$  is true. Then  $1 \geq s_n \geq s_{n+1} \geq 0$ . Observe that since  $1 \geq s_{n+1} \geq 0, s_{n+1} \geq s_{n+1}^2 \geq 0$ . Also, since  $0 < \frac{n+1}{n+2} < 1$  it follows that  $0 \leq s_{n+2} = \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)s_{n+1}^2 \leq s_{n+1} \leq 1$ , as desired.

- (c) Since  $\lim s_n$  exists, let  $\lim s_n = s$ . Applying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  to both sides of the equality  $s_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)s_n^2$  yields,  $s = s^2$  so  $s = 0$  or  $1$ . Since  $(s_n)$  is a decreasing sequence which contains terms strictly less than  $1$  we see that  $s = 0$ .

□

**Exercise 1.6** (Ross 1.10). Let  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_n = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

- (a) Find  $s_2, s_3$ , and  $s_4$ .
- (b) Use induction to show  $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n$
- (c) Show  $(s_n)$  is a decreasing sequence.
- (d) Show  $\lim s_n$  exists and find  $\lim s_n$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $s_1 = 1, s_2 = \frac{2}{3}, s_3 = \frac{5}{9}, s_4 = \frac{14}{27}$

- (b) We will proceed by induction.

Basis Step:  $P(1)$  is true since  $s_1 = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$ . Inductive Step: Assume  $P(n)$  is true. Then  $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$  so  $s_n + 1 > \frac{3}{2}$  so  $\frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) > \frac{1}{2}$ , as desired.

- (c) We will proceed by induction.

Basis Step:  $P(1)$  is true since  $s_2 = \frac{2}{3} < 1 = s_1$ .

Inductive Step: Assume  $P(n)$  is true. Then,  $s_{n+1} < s_n$  so  $s_{n+1} + 1 < s_n + 1$  so  $s_{n+2} = \frac{1}{3}(s_{n+1} + 1) < \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) = s_{n+1}$ , as desired.

- (d) By parts (b) and (c),  $(s_n)$  is monotone and bounded so it converges. Suppose  $\lim s_n = s$ . Applying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  to both sides of the equality  $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1)$  yields  $s = \frac{1}{3}(s + 1)$  so  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

□

**Exercise 1.7** (Ross 1.11). Let  $t_1 = 1$  and  $t_{n+1} = [1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}] \cdot t_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

(a) Show  $\lim t_n$  exists.

*Proof.* (a) To show  $\lim t_n$  exists it suffices to show  $t_n$  is decreasing and bounded.

First, observe that  $0 < 1 - \frac{1}{4n^2} < 1$  for all  $n$  so  $t_n > [1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}]t_n = t_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ .

Now, we claim  $t_n > 0$  for all  $n$ . To show this we will proceed by induction.

Basis Step:  $P(1)$  is true since  $t_1 = 1 > 0$

Inductive Step: Assume  $P(n)$  is true then.  $t_n > 0$ . Since  $0 < 1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}$ ,  $t_{n+1} = [1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}]t_n > 0$ .

□

**Exercise 1.8** (Squeeze Test). Let  $a_n, b_n, c_n$  be three sequences such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ , and  $L = \lim a_n = \lim c_n$ . Show that  $\lim b_n = L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $a_n$  and  $c_n$  converge to  $L$  we can choose  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$  then  $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ , and  $N_2$  such that if  $n > N_2$  then  $|c_n - L| < \varepsilon$ . Taking  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  we see that if  $n > N$ ,

$$L - \varepsilon < a_n < L + \varepsilon$$

and

$$L - \varepsilon < c_n < L + \varepsilon.$$

Combining these inequalities and our assumption we see that

$$L - \varepsilon < a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n < L + \varepsilon$$

so  $|b_n - L| < \varepsilon$  for  $n > N$  so  $\lim b_n = L$ .

□