HWb - 109Jie Zherg 1. In class, we proved that [0,1] is sequentially compact, can you prove that $[0,1]^2$ in \mathbb{R}^2 is sequentially compact? (In general, if metric space Xand Y are sequentially compact, we can show that X imes Y is sequentially compact.) Need to prove: If X < R, Y < R are sequentially compact, then XXY is also sequential : X, is sequentially compact. ⇒ y ×n eX, ∃ ×nk) and x'eX, S.t (×nk) -> x' and Yyn GY, J June and YGY. Sit () (mb) -> y' Then let $\{t_n\} = \{X \times Y = (X_i, Y_i\}$ we can find severimence $(t_n)_p = (x_p, y_p)$ where $p \in N_{\kappa}(M_{\kappa})$ $\Rightarrow S^{s_t} x_p \Rightarrow s', y_p \Rightarrow y'$ シシャカー>(ガノダ) => X×Y is sequential comparet.

2. 2. Let E be the set of points $x \in [0,1]$ whose decimal expansion consist of only 4 and 7 (e.g. 0.4747744 is allowed), is E countable? is Ecompact? IS & count cuble? uncontrable consisting 4.7] Pf: Assume &= < x | st (0.1] with declard expanses for every digit ofter "0." Here are tros caloic is and ', and different choice in any digit make a defferct point then othere => for a point with a digit. where is 2 point choices of differen point Jince for se TO, 1], n can be vijinte. => 2" is infinite. >> & is uncomptuble 25 7 compact.? · cleany 7 is bounded since SECO, N · To check of is dosed

it is to check E is open cleanly E = {X [XEZO]] with that not all the digit for any KEEC VS bother 4, or 7. Det the first digit not '4, "," in the K-th posith. and let n= the decimal just after Koth poistion. Cike, X=0,4732740... Y= 0,0002740 ---Then BrX=(v.473000, 0.47354.) EEC This hold for any SEE SENS OPEN

DEis closed Aboved. E is Compart

3. Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be subset of a metric space. If $B = \cup_i A_i$, then $\bar{B} \supset \cup_i \bar{A}_i$. Is it possible that this inclusion is an strict inclusion?

Let Ai = J $\Rightarrow V_{2}A_{i} = B = \{i \mid j = 1, 2, 3, ..., B\}$ Since Ai = Ai = $V_{Ai} = B$ but $\overline{B} = \{0, \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ which meanse B= BU {ol > With this example. we say it is possible for this Indusino to be strict (inclue



4. Last time, we showed that any open subset of \mathbb{R} is a countable disjoint union of open intervals. Here is a claim and argument about closed set: {\em every closed subset of \mathbb{R} is a countable union of closed intervals. Because every closed set is the complement of an open set, and adjacent open intervals sandwich a closed interval.} Can you see where the argument is wrong? Can you give an example of a closed set which is not a countable union of closed intervals (here countable include countably infinite and finite)

just as se Q2 F= SX | SE CO,) with only 4,7, in decimal pant } E is proved to be closed but it is the union of uncountable countable discrete points insteal of Glosed interal.