

10.6

a) Let (S_n) be a sequence such that

$$|S_{n+1} - S_n| < 2^{-n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Prove (S_n) is a Cauchy sequence and hence is convergent

Def Cauchy Seq.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } m, n > N \rightarrow |S_n - S_m| < \varepsilon$$

for S_n , 2^{-n} is never greater than 2^{-1}

$n=1, m=n+1$ as n increases 2^{-n} decreases
therefore, S_{n+1} grows closer to S_n

This means that as n increases, the rate of change of the sequence decreases exponentially while n increases linearly. Therefore since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{a^n} = 0 \quad \forall a > 1$, this seq converges.

Therefore, since it converges, it is a cauchy sequence.

b) Is the result in (a) true if we only assume $|S_{n+1} - S_n| < \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

In this case, the result in (a) is not necessarily valid.
The case was based on one side decreasing at an exponential rate while the other increased at a linear rate, therefore, as n increases,
 $(S_m - S_n)$ will increase without limit if m increases and n stays constant.

11.2 Consider

$$a_n = (-1)^n \quad b_n = \frac{1}{n} \quad c_n = n^2 \quad d_n = \frac{6n+4}{7n-3}$$

a) a monotone subsequence of (a_n) could be $a_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{even}}$

(b_n) is a monotone sequence, so any subseq \rightarrow monotone decreasing

(c_n) is monotone, so any subseq is monotone increasing

(d_n) is monotone, so any subseq \rightarrow monotone decreasing

$$c) \limsup(a_n) = 1 \quad \liminf(a_n) = -1$$

$$\lim(b_n) \text{ exists} \therefore \lim(b_n) = \limsup(b_n) = \liminf(b_n) = 0$$

$$\lim(c_n) = +\infty = \limsup(c_n) = \liminf(c_n)$$

$$\lim(d_n) = \frac{6}{7} = \limsup(d_n) = \liminf(d_n)$$

b) $a_n : S = \{1, -1\}$
 $b_n : S = \{0\}$
 $c_n : S = \{\pm 3\}$
 $d_n : S = \left\{-\frac{6}{n}\right\}$



- d) a_n does not converge nor diverge, it oscillates between 1 and -1, b_n converges to 0, c_n diverges to $\pm\infty$, d_n converges to $-\frac{6}{n}$.
- e) a_n is bounded as $|a_n|$ never exceeds 1, b_n is bounded as $|b_n|$ never exceeds 1 ($\forall n > 0 \in \mathbb{N}$), c_n is not bounded as it diverges, d_n is bounded as it never exceeds $\frac{5}{2}$.

II.3

$$s_n = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{3}\right) \quad t_n = \frac{3}{4n+1} \quad u_n = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \quad v_n = (-1)^n + \frac{1}{n}$$

- a) A monotone subseq for s_n is the seq of peaks, which would be s_n for all n that are multiples of 6.
 t_n is monotone decreasing, therefore any subseq will be monotone decreasing, let the subseq be $t_n \forall n > 100$.
A monotone subseq of u_n could be the seq u_n for all odd n , which would be monotone increasing.
A monotone subseq of v_n could be v_n where n is only even, which would be monotone decreasing.

b) $s_n : S = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -1, 0\}$
 $t_n : S = \{0\}$
 $u_n : S = \{0\}$
 $v_n : S = \{1, -1\}$

c) $\limsup(s_n) = 1 \quad \liminf(s_n) = -1$
 $\limsup(t_n) = \liminf(t_n) = \lim(t_n) = 0$
 $\limsup(u_n) = \liminf(u_n) = \lim(t_n) = 0$
 $\limsup(v_n) = 1 \quad \liminf(v_n) = -1$

- d) None of these sequences diverge, and only t_n and u_n converge ($t_0 = 0$)
- e) All of these sequences are bounded. and none of their magnitudes ever exceed 2.

11.5 Let (q_n) be an enumeration of all of the rationals in the interval $(0, 1)$. Since (q_n) is an enumeration of a set of rational numbers, it must be an oscillatory set, starting with $\frac{1}{1}$ and moving closer to 0 as the denominator (of each element) increases, then grows closer to 1 , as the numerator grows larger, and then the denominator grows, and so on.

As the denominator of the rational numbers grows closer to ∞ , and the numerator follows suit, an infinite number of elements growing closer to any rational number are possible, therefore meaning that there are subsequences that converge to any rational number in $(0, 1)$. Therefore the set of subsequential limits is the set of all rational numbers in $[0, 1]$.

$$(b) \liminf (q_n) = 0 \quad \limsup (q_n) = 1$$

2) \limsup is the natural endpoint for the subsequences of maximums in any oscillating seq / or it is the limit for any sequence for which the limit exists. \limsup is different to just \sup because it doesn't care about any of the finite values. \limsup only cares about how a sequence acts when it stretches on forever. The weirdest thing about \limsup is probably that when a sequence is unbounded or it becomes incredibly large at some point ($i.e. S_n = \frac{1}{n-2}$) but still converges to zero, as \sup is large but \limsup is zero.

Something that seems correct but is actually wrong is that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{99}{n} = 99$, or that \limsup is always larger than \sup because \limsup takes into account when n is infinite.