

Ross 13.3

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of all bounded sequences  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and define

$$d(x, y) = \sup \{|x_j - y_j| : j=1, 2, \dots\}$$

a) Show  $d$  is a metric for  $\mathcal{B}$

1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x) &= \sup \{|x_j - x_j| : j=1, 2, \dots\} \\ &= \sup \{0 : j=1, 2, \dots\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$

$$\sup \{|x_j - y_j| : j=1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\sup \{|y_j - x_j| : j=1, 2, \dots\}$$

By properties of the absolute value function,

$|x_j - y_j| = |y_j - x_j|$ , so the suprema will be the same ✓

3)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$

First we consider  $|x_j - z_j| \leq |x_j - y_j| + |y_j - z_j|$  by the triangle inequality, we take the sup of both sides, showing  $\sup |x_j - z_j| \leq \sup |x_j - y_j| + \sup |y_j - z_j|$  for all indices  $j$ , thus our definition  $d(x, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  is satisfied □

b) Now  $d^*(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j - y_j|$  defines a metric for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{N}$ .  
 This distance function allows for infinite distances, which do not provide a valid metric.

It's not clear if it works

II

$$\text{Example: } \|x - x\|_{\text{def}} = (x, x)_B$$

$$\|x, y\|_{\text{def}} = (0, 0)_B =$$

$\infty$

$$(x, x)_B = (x, x)_B$$

$$\|x, y\|_{\text{def}} = (y - x)_B$$

$$\|x, z\|_{\text{def}} = (z - x)_B$$

so for

uniform continuity of the mapping  $f$

continuous at  $x$ ,  $|f(x) - f(z)| \leq L|x - z|$

$\rightarrow$  since  $x \neq z$  now

$$(f(x)_B + f(z)_B) \leq L|x|_B$$

$|x - z|_B \leq L|x - z|$  which is valid

and since  $|x - z|_B \leq L|x - z|$  we have  $L|x - z|_B \leq L|x - z|$

and  $L|x - z|_B \leq L|x - z|$  which is valid

### 13.5 Verifying one of DeMorgan's Laws for Sets

$$\bigcap \{S \setminus U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = S \setminus \bigcup \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

Proof:

$$x \in \bigcap \{S \setminus U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

$$x \in S \setminus U \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$x \in S, x \notin U \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$x \in S, x \notin \bigcup \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

$$x \in S \setminus \bigcup \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

as desired.

- b. Show the intersection of any collection of closed sets is a closed set.

Given a collection of <sup>Closed</sup> sets  $\mathcal{C}$  there exists some set  $\emptyset = X \setminus C$  in some metric space  $X$ . By applying a) we see that any intersection of closed sets is closed.

13.7 Show that every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is the disjoint union of a finite or <sup>infinite sequence</sup> of open intervals.

Let  $O$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}$ .  
Since  $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let  $x \in O$  be an element in  $O$ . Then an interval  $I$  exists such that  $x \in I$  and  $I$  is a proper subset of  $O$ ; if  $I$  exists, then there exists a largest interval containing  $x$ , the union of all such intervals. These intervals are disjoint and must contain a unique rational, thus every open set is made up of finite intervals  $I$ , or a countably infinite number of such intervals.

Recall given  $(X, d)$  a metric space, and  $S$  a subset of  $X$ , we defined the closure of  $S$  to be  $S^- = \{p \in X \mid \exists (p_n) \in S \text{ s.t. } \lim(p_n) = p\}$ .  
Prove taking the closure again won't make it any bigger.

From the definition of  $S^-$  there exists a sequence  $p_n$  for all  $x$  such that  $\lim p_n = p$ . The closure of  $S^-$  will necessarily be closed by definition, hence there will be some sequence  $x_i \in S^-$   $\forall i$  that converges to  $p$  such that  $|x_i - x| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and converges to  $p$ , for all such sequences. Thus  $S'' = S^-$ .

5. If  $x \in S^c$ , the closure of  $S$  of some  
subset of  $X$ . Let  $C$  be a closed  
subset of  $X$  and  $S$  be a  
subset of  $C$ . Let  $x$  not be in  $C$ ,  
then  $x$  is not in  $S$ . However,  
 $S^c \subseteq C$ , a contradiction,  $x$  must  
be in  $C$  for any  $S \subseteq C$ , thus  
 $S^c$  must be the intersection  
of all such  $C$  closed  
sets.