Ross 1.10

Prove $(2n + 1) + (2n + 3) + \dots + (4n - 1) = 3n^2$ for all positive integers *n*. **Proof:**

Let P(n) be $(2n + 1) + (2n + 3) + \dots + (4n - 1) = 3n^2$

P(1)

Base Case:

LHS = $(2 \cdot 1 + 1) = 3$ RHS = $3 \cdot (1)^2 = 3$ We have RHS = LHS

Inductive Hypothesis:

Assume P(k) if true for some positive integer k That is, $(2 \cdot k + 1) + (2 \cdot k + 3) + \dots + (4 \cdot k - 1) = 3k^2$

Inductive Step:

Note that we can rewrite the equation above to $(2(k+1)-1) + (2(k+1)+1) + \dots + (4(k+1)-5) = 3k^2$

We then subtract (2(k + 1) - 1) on both side and get: $(2(k + 1) + 1) + \dots + (4(k + 1) - 5) = 3k^2 - (2(k + 1) - 1)$

Then we add (4(k + 1) - 3) and (4(k + 1) - 1) to achieve our goal and get: $(2(k + 1) + 1) + \dots + (4(k + 1) - 5) + (4(k + 1) - 3) + (4(k + 1) - 1))$ $= 3k^2 - (2(k + 1) - 1) + (4(k + 1) - 3) + (4(k + 1) - 1))$

We can simplify (4(k + 1) - 3) and (4(k + 1) - 1) by combining them together with (4(k + 1) - 5) and get:

 $(2(k+1)+1) + \dots + (4(k+1)-1) = 3k^2 - 6k + 3 = 3(k^2 - 2k + 1)$

We can further simplify and get:

 $(2(k+1)+1) + \dots + (4(k+1)-1) = 3(k+1)^2$ which is what P(k+1) says.

So if P(n) is true for some positive integer k, then it must also be true for k + 1.

By mathematical induction, $(2n + 1) + (2n + 3) + \dots + (4n - 1) = 3n^2$ for all positive integer n.

Ross 1.12

The binomial theorem asserts that

$$(a+b)^{n} = {\binom{n}{0}}a^{n} + {\binom{n}{1}}a^{n-1}b + {\binom{n}{2}}a^{n-2}b^{2} + \dots + {\binom{n}{n-1}}ab^{n-1} + {\binom{n}{n}}b^{n}$$
$$= a^{n} + na^{n-1}b + \frac{1}{2}na^{n-2}b^{2} + \dots + nab^{n-1} + b^{n}$$

(a.) Verify the binomial theorem for n = 1, 2, and 3. (b.) Show $\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} = \binom{n+1}{k}$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n. (c.) Prove the binomial theorem using mathematical induction and part (b.) Let P(n) be $(a + b)^n = \binom{n}{0}a^n + \binom{n}{1}a^{n-1}b + \binom{n}{2}a^{n-2}b^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1}ab^{n-1} + \binom{n}{n}b^n$ $= a^n + na^{n-1}b + \frac{1}{2}na^{n-2}b^2 + \dots + nab^{n-1} + b^n$

(a.)
$$P(1)$$
:
LHS: $(a + b)^1 = a + b$
RHS: $a^1 + 1 \cdot a^{1-1}b = a + b$
 $P(2)$:
LHS: $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$
RHS: $a^2 + 2 \cdot a^{2-1}b + 1 \cdot a^{2-2}b^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$
 $P(3)$:
LHS: $(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2 + b^3$
RHS: $a^3 + 3 \cdot a^{3-1}b + 3 \cdot a^{3-2}b^2 + 1 \cdot a^{3-3}b^3 = a^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2 + b^3$

 \Rightarrow LHS = RHS, so this is true for n = 3

(b.)

$$\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} = \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{n!}{(k-1)! \cdot (n-k+1)!} = n! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)! \cdot k \cdot (n-k)!} + \frac{1}{(k-1)! (n-k)! \cdot (n-k+1)}\right) = n! \cdot \left(\frac{(n-k+1)+(k)}{k! (n-k+1)!}\right) = n! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{k! \cdot ((n+1)-k)}\right) = \binom{n+1}{k! \cdot ((n+1)-k)} = \binom{n+1}{k}$$

(c.) Base Case:

Shown in part (a)

Inductive Hypothesis:

Assume P(k) is true for some positive integer k This means that $(a + b)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} a^{k-i} b^i$

Inductive Step:

To get $(a + b)^{k+1}$, we multiply another (a + b):

$$(a+b)^{k+1} = (a+b) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} a^{k-i} b^{i} = \left(a \sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} a^{k-i} b^{i}\right) + \left(b \sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} a^{k-i} b^{i}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow (a+b)^{k+1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} a^{k-i+1} b^{i}\right) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} a^{k-i} b^{i+1}\right)$$

We can rewrite this as:

$$(a+b)^{k+1} = \left(\binom{k}{0}a^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i}a^{k-i+1}b^{i}\right) + \left(\binom{k}{k}b^{k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{i}a^{k-i}b^{i+1}\right)$$

Set the index of the second summation start from 1 and get:

$$(a+b)^{k+1} = \left(\binom{k}{0}a^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i}a^{k-i+1}b^{i}\right) + \left(\binom{k}{k}b^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i-1}a^{k-i+1}b^{i}\right)$$

Now, we get:

$$(a+b)^{k+1} = \left(\binom{k}{0} a^{k+1} + \binom{k}{k} b^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{i-1} + a^{k-i+1} b^{i} \right)$$

Use part(b.) and we get:

$$(a+b)^{k+1} = \binom{k}{0}a^{k+1} + \binom{k}{k}b^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k+1}{i} + a^{k-i+1}b^{i}$$

Note that $\binom{k}{0} = \binom{k+1}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{k}{k} = \binom{k+1}{k+1} = 1$ Rewrite the formula:

$$(a+b)^{k+1} = \binom{k+1}{0}a^{k+1} + \binom{k+1}{k+1}b^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k+1}{i} + a^{k-i+1}b^{i}$$

$$\Rightarrow (a+b)^{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{i} + a^{(k+1)-i}b^{i}$$

Since $(a+b)^{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{i} + a^{(k+1)-i}b^i$, P(k+1) is true.

So if P(n) is true for some positive integer k, then it must also be true for k + 1.

By mathematical induction, the binomial theorem holds true for all positive integer n.

Ross 2.2

Show $\sqrt[3]{2}$, $\sqrt[7]{5}$, $\sqrt[4]{13}$

- (1.) Note that $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is the solution to $x^3 2 = 0$ The only possible rational solutions by the Rational Zeros Theorem are ± 2 Since $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is a solution and is none of ± 2 , it is not rational.
- (2.) Note that $\sqrt[7]{5}$ is the solution to $x^7 5 = 0$ The only possible rational solutions by the Rational Zeros Theorem are ± 5 Since $\sqrt[7]{5}$ is a solution and is none of ± 5 , it is not rational.
- (3.) Note that $\sqrt[4]{13}$ is the solution to $x^4 13 = 0$ The only possible rational solutions by the Rational Zeros Theorem are ± 13 Since $\sqrt[4]{13}$ is a solution and is none of ± 13 , it is not rational.

Ross 3.6

(a.) Prove $|a + b + c| \le |a| + |b| + |c|$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. (b.) Use induction to prove: $|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n| \le |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|$

for *n* numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n

(a.) |a+b+c| = |(a+b)+c|

By the triangle inequality: $|(a + b) + c| \le |a + b| + |c|$

By the triangle inequality: $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$ So $|a + b| + |c| \le |a| + |b| + |c|$

Note that $|(a + b) + c| \le |a + b| + |c|$ So $|(a + b) + c| \le |a| + |b| + |c|$

Thus,
$$|a + b + c| \le |a| + |b| + |c|$$

(b.) Let P(n) be

$$|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n| \le |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|$$

for *n* numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n

Base Case: P(1)It is true that $|a_1| \le |a_1|$

Inductive Hypothesis: P(k)

Assume it is true for some positive integer k.

That is,

$$|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k| \le |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_k|$$

Inductive Step: P(k + 1)By triangle inequality,

$$|(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k) + a_{k+1}| \le |a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k| + |a_{k+1}|$$

By the inductive hypothesis

$$|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k| + |a_{k+1}| \le |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_k| + |a_{k+1}|$$

So,

$$|(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k) + a_{k+1}| \le |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_k| + |a_{k+1}|$$

So if it is true for k, then it is true for k + 1

By the mathematical induction, $|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n| \le |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|$ for *n* numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n

Ross 4.11

Consider $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ where a < b. Use Denseness of $\mathbb{Q}4.7$ to show there are infinitely many rationals between a and b.

Denseness of \mathbb{Q} : If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and a < b, then there is a rational $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < r < b.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ where a < b.

Let
$$c = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

Note that since $\frac{a+b}{2} < \frac{b+b}{2}$, c < bAlso, $\frac{a+b}{2} > \frac{a+a}{2}$, a < c

Then we'll have a < c < b

Similarly, we can let $d = \frac{a+c}{2}$ and $e = \frac{c+b}{2}$ to get a < d < c < e < b

We can keep doing this infinitely times

Therefore, there are infinitely many rationals between *a* and *b*.

Ross 4.14

Let *A* and *B* be nonempty bounded subsets of \mathbb{R} , and let A + B be the set of all sums a + b where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

(a.) Prove sup(A + B) = supA + supB. (b.) Prove inf(A + b) = infA + infB.

(a.) By the definition of supremum, $supA \ge a$ and $supB \ge b$ $\Rightarrow supA + supB \ge (a + b)$

Note that since $(a + b) \in (A + B)$ and $supA + supB \ge (a + b)$ holds for arbitrary *a* and *b*, and $sup(A + B) \in (A + B)$,

 $supA + supB \ge sup(A + B)$

Again by the definition of supremum, $\sup(A + B) \ge (a + b)$

Note that since *a* is any arbitrary number in *A* and *b* is any arbitrary number in *B*, and *supA* is a number in *A* and *supB* is a number in *B*, $sup(A + B) \ge supA + supB$ holds true.

We have

 $supA + supB \ge sup(A + B)$

and

$$\sup(A+B) \ge \sup A$$

So, $\sup(A + B) = \sup A + \sup B$.

(b.) By the definition infimum, $infA \le a$ and $infB \le b$ $\Rightarrow infA + infB \le (a + b)$

Note that since $(a + b) \in (A + B)$ and $infA + infB \le (a + b)$ holds for arbitrary *a* and *b*, and $inf(A + B) \in (A + B)$,

$$infA + infB \le inf(A + B)$$

Again by the definition of infimum, $inf(A + B) \le (a + b)$

Note that since *a* is any arbitrary number in *A* and *b* is any arbitrary number in *B*, and *supA* is a number in *A* and *supB* is a number in *B*, $inf(A + B) \le infA + infB$ holds true.

We have

$$infA + infB \le inf(A + B)$$

and

 $\inf(A+B) \leq supA$

So, inf(A + B) = infA + infB.

Ross 7.5

Determine the following limits

(a.) $\lim s_n$ where $s_n = \sqrt{n^2 + 1} - n$ (b.) $\lim \left(\sqrt{n^2 + n} - n \right)$

(c.) $\lim (\sqrt{4n^2 + n} - 2n)$

(a.) $\lim \sqrt{n^2 + 1} - n$

As $n \to \infty$, we can ignore the 1 in the square root and get:

$$\lim \sqrt{n^2 + 1} - n = \lim \sqrt{n^2 - n} = \lim n - n = 0$$

(b.) $\lim(\sqrt{n^2 + n} - n)$

As $n \to \infty$, n^2 grows much faster than *n*, and hence we can ignore *n* and get: $\lim \sqrt{n^2 + n} - n = \lim \sqrt{n^2} - n = \lim n - n = 0$

(c.)
$$\lim(\sqrt{4n^2 + n} - 2n)$$

As $n \to \infty$, $4n^2$ grows much faster than *n*, and hence we can ignore *n* and get: $\lim \sqrt{4n^2 + n} - 2n = \lim \sqrt{4n^2} - 2n = \lim 2n - 2n = 0$