## Ross 9.9

Suppose there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \le t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ (a.) Prove that if  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ , then  $\lim t_n = +\infty$ (b.) Prove that if  $\lim t_n = -\infty$ , then  $\lim s_n = -\infty$ 

(c.) Prove that if  $\lim s_n$  and  $\lim t_n$  exists, then  $\lim s_n \le \lim t_n$ 

(a.) Suppose there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ . Since  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ , for each M > 0, there is a number N that for n > N,  $s_n > M$ . Let  $N' = \max \{N, N_0\}$ , then for all n > N',  $t_n \geq s_n > M$ . So,  $\lim t_n = +\infty$ .

- (b.) Suppose there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \le t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ . Since  $\lim t_n = -\infty$ , for each M < 0, there is a number N that for n > N,  $t_n < M$ . Let  $N' = \max\{N, N_0\}$ , then for all n > N',  $s_n \le t_n < M$ . So,  $\lim s_n = -\infty$ .
- (c.) Suppose there exists  $N_0$  such that  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n > N_0$ . Also suppose that  $\lim s_n$  and  $\lim t_n$  exist. Then,  $t_n - s_n \geq 0$  for all  $n > N_0$ . This implies that  $\lim t_n - \lim s_n \geq 0$  for all  $n > N_0$ . Which implies that  $\lim t_n \geq \lim s_n$

## Ross 9.15

 $\frac{\text{Show } \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{R}.}{\text{Note that as } n \to \infty, n \text{ will eventually larger than } a}$ 

In fact, after n = a + 1, every n will larger than a

Thus, making  $\frac{a}{n}$  become smaller and smaller and eventually goes to zero.

Ross 10.7

Let S be bounded nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that supS is not in S. Prove there is a sequence  $(s_n)$  of points in S such that  $lims_n = supS$ 

Let S be bounded nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $supS \notin S$ .

By definition, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s > supS - \epsilon$ .

Note that since  $\frac{1}{n} > 0$  for all n > 0, we can let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$  and get  $s > supS - \frac{1}{n}$ 

Also note that supS > s for all  $s \in S$  in this case.

Then, we get the relation:  $supS - \frac{1}{n} < s < supS$  for all  $s \in S$ .

From above, we can see that  $(s_n)$  is bounded by some sequences, says  $(a_n) = supS$  and  $(b_n) = supS - \frac{1}{n}$ .

Therefore, there is a sequence  $(s_n)$  of points in *S* such that  $\lim s_n = \sup S$ .

Ross 10.8

Let  $(s_n)$  be an increasing sequence of positive numbers and define  $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n)$ . Prove  $(\sigma_n)$  is an increasing sequence.

Since  $(s_n)$  is an increasing sequence of positive numbers, the smallest possible sum of them is  $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$ .

Any other possible sequence will only make  $(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n)$  larger.

So, as long as  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$  is increasing,  $(\sigma_n)$  is increasing.

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i}{n} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)\right)}{n} = \frac{n+1}{2} \ge 1 \text{ since } n \ge 1.$$

Since the ration is greater than or equal to 1 with equality holds when n = 1, the sequence does increasing.

Therefore,  $(\sigma_n)$  is an increasing sequence.

## Ross 10.9

Let  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) s_n^2$  for  $n \ge 1$ . (a.) Find  $s_2, s_3$  and  $s_4.w$ (b.) Show lim  $s_n$  exists. (c.) Prove lim  $s_n = 0$ . (a.)  $s_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 1^2 = \frac{1}{2}$   $s_3 = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{6}$  $s_4 = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{48}$ 

(b.) Note that  $\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) \ge 0$  and therefore,  $s_n \ge 0$ 

Furthermore,  $\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) < 1$  since n+1 > n for all  $n \ge 1$  and therefore  $s_n < 1$ 

So we have:  $0 \le \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)s_n^2 < 1$  and  $\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)s_n^2$  is decreasing.

Therefore,  $\lim s_n$  exists.

(c.) From above we can see that both  $\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)$  and  $s_n^2$  is getting closer and closer to zero.

Therefore,  $\lim s_n \to 0$ .

Squeeze Lemma

Let  $a_n, b_n, c_n$  be three sequences such that  $a_n \le b_n \le c_n$ . If  $L = \lim a_n = \lim c_n$ , then  $\lim b_n = L$ .

Let  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$ ,  $c_n$  be three sequences such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ .

Let  $L = \lim a_n = \lim c_n$ .

Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N_a$  such that for all  $n > N_a$ ,  $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ .

That is,  $L - \epsilon < a < L + \epsilon$ .

Similarly, we can have  $L - \epsilon < c < L + \epsilon$  with some  $N_c$ 

Let  $N_b = \max\{N_a, N_c\}$ 

Then we have:  $L - \epsilon < a_n \le b_n \le c_n < L + \epsilon$ 

Rewrite above and we get:  $L - \epsilon < b_n < L + \epsilon$ 

This implies that  $|b_n - L| < \epsilon$ .

Therefore,  $\lim b_n = L$ .