

**Ross 9.9**

Given that  $(s_n), (t_n)$  are sequences such that in the tail,  $s_n \leq t_n$ , we'd like to prove the following:

- a.  $\lim s_n = +\infty \implies \lim t_n = +\infty$ . Suppose for contradiction  $\lim t_n$  is finite. Fix some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\forall n > N$ :

$$t_n < t + \epsilon$$

Since  $s_n$  does not converge, we know that for every  $\epsilon' > 0$ , there is an  $N'$  such that  $\forall n' > N'$ :

$$s_{n'} > \epsilon$$

Then let  $\epsilon' = t + \epsilon$ , and let  $M = \max(N, N')$ . Then we get that:

$$s_n > t + \epsilon > t_n$$

which is a contradiction. We could also (for contradiction) have that  $\lim t_n = -\infty$ , but in that case we have that  $t_n < \epsilon$ , and we let  $\epsilon' = \epsilon$  to again see that  $s_n > t_n$ , contradicting our earlier assumption.

- b.  $\lim t_n = -\infty \implies \lim s_n = -\infty$ . We can do this proof in essentially the same way. Suppose for contradiction  $\lim s_n$  is finite. Fix some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\forall n > N$ :

$$s_n > s - \epsilon$$

Since  $t_n$  diverges to  $-\infty$ , we know that for every  $\epsilon' > 0$ , there is an  $N'$  such that  $\forall n' > N'$ :

$$t_{n'} < \epsilon$$

Then let  $\epsilon' = s - \epsilon$ , and let  $M = \max(N, N')$ . Then we get that:

$$s_n > s - \epsilon > t_n$$

which is a contradiction. We could also (for contradiction) have that  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ , but in that case we have that  $s_n > \epsilon$ , and we let  $\epsilon' = \epsilon$  to again see that  $s_n > t_n$ , contradicting our earlier assumption.

- c.  $\lim s_n \leq \lim t_n$ . Suppose for contradiction  $\lim s_n > \lim t_n$  (call these limits  $s, t$  respectively), and fix some  $\epsilon > 0$ . There is  $N$  such that  $\forall n > N$ :

$$s_n > s - \epsilon$$

$$s_n > t - \epsilon$$

now we let  $\epsilon = t - t_n$

$$s_n > t - (t - t_n) = t_n$$

contradicting our original assumption.

**Ross 9.15**

We'd like to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} = 0$ . We can split this up into 3 cases. The case where  $a = 0, a > 0, a < 0$ . If  $a = 0$ , the result is trivial, as  $\frac{a^0}{n!} = 0$  for all  $n$ . Now let's consider the case where  $a > 0$ . Then  $2a > a$ , and for any  $n > 2a$ , we have that  $\frac{a}{n} \leq \frac{a}{2a}$ . Since we can start the limit from any arbitrary point (we only care about the tail), we can see that if  $n$  starts at something larger than  $2a$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{(2a)^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$$

We can use a very similar argument to see that if  $a < 0$ , then  $-2a > a$ , and for any  $n > -2a$ , we have that  $\frac{a}{n} \leq \frac{a}{-2a}$ , which means that (again starting at some  $n > -2a$ ):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{(-2a)^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$$

In all 3 cases, we can see that the limit is 0.

**Ross 10.7**

Given a subset  $S$  such that  $\sup S \notin S$ , we'd like to show that there's a sequence  $(s_n)$  in  $S$  such that  $\lim s_n = \sup S$ . Intuitively, we'd like to set the  $s_n = \sup S - \frac{1}{n}$  or something, but that's not always possible because it's not guaranteed that  $\sup S - \frac{1}{n}$  is going to be an element of  $S$ . Instead we let  $s_n$  be something chosen from the interval  $(\sup S - \frac{1}{n}, \sup S)$ , which we can do using the axiom of choice. We can show that the limit is  $\sup S$  in the way that we normally would.

$$\sup S - \frac{1}{n} - \sup S < s_n - \sup S < \sup S - \sup S$$

We can do that by construction of  $s_n$ :

$$-\frac{1}{n} < s_n - \sup S < 0$$

We can rearrange this to see that  $s_n - \sup S < \frac{1}{n}$ , which means that we for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$  we let  $N_0$  be the ceiling of  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ , and then we have that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $N_0$  such that for all  $n > N_0$ :  $s_n - \sup S \leq \epsilon$

**Ross 10.8**

We'd like to show that given an increasing sequence of positive numbers  $(s_n)$ , the arithmetic mean  $\sigma_n$  of the first  $n$  values of  $s$  is an increasing sequence. To show that a sequence is increasing, we basically have to show that  $\sigma_{n+1} \geq \sigma_n$ . Suppose for contradiction  $\sigma_{n+1} < \sigma_n$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{n+1}}{n+1} &< \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_n}{n} \\ \implies n(s_1 + \dots + s_n) + ns_{n+1} &< n(s_1 + \dots + s_n) + (s_1 + \dots + s_n) \\ \implies ns_{n+1} &< s_1 + \dots + s_n\end{aligned}$$

However, since we said that  $(s_n)$  was an increasing sequence, we know that  $s_{n+1} \geq s_i$  for all  $i \in 1, 2, \dots, n$ , which means that  $ns_{n+1}$  should be greater than or equal to  $s_1 + \dots + s_n$ . This contradiction implies that  $\sigma_{n+1} \geq \sigma_n$ , i.e.  $(\sigma_n)$  is an increasing sequence.

**Ross 10.9**

a.

$$s_2 = \frac{2}{3}1^2 = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$s_3 = \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$s_4 = \frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 = \frac{4}{45}$$

b. Firstly, we can see that  $(s_n)$  is bounded below by 0, because  $\frac{n}{n+1} > 0$  for  $n > 0$ , and of course  $s_n^2 \geq 0$  for all  $s_n$ . Secondly, note that  $s_2 \leq 1$ , which means that for all  $n \geq 2$ , we have that  $s_n \leq 1$ . We can prove this by induction. Suppose  $s_n \leq 1$ , then we know that  $\frac{n}{n+1} \leq 1$ , and  $s_n^2 \leq 1$ , which means that their product is also less than 1, therefore  $s_{n+1} \leq 1$ . So we can see the  $(s_n)$  is bounded above by 1. Secondly, we claim that it's a decreasing sequence.

$$s_{n+1} = \frac{n}{n+1}s_n^2 \leq s_n^2 \leq s_n$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that since  $s_n \leq 1$ ,  $s_n^2 \leq s_n$ .

c. Now we'd like to show that the limit is 0. We know that the sequence is bounded below by 0, so we want to find a sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $0 \leq s_n \leq a_n$  such that the limit of  $(a_n)$  is 0. For  $n > 2$ , we can guess that  $s_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . We can look at  $s_3$  as a base case. Then suppose  $s_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$ :

$$s_{n+1} = \frac{n}{n+1}s_n^2 \leq s_n^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$$

The last inequality just comes from the fact that certainly for  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $n^2 > n+1$ . Since we know that  $\lim \frac{1}{n} = 0$ , we can conclude that  $\lim s_n = 0$ .

**Ross 10.10**

a.

$$s_2 = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$s_3 = \frac{5}{9}$$

$$s_4 = \frac{14}{27}$$

b.  $s_1 > \frac{1}{2}$  by definition. We proceed with induction, so suppose that  $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$ 

$$s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) > \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} + 1\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

as desired.

c. For the sake of contradiction assume that the sequence isn't decreasing ( $s_{n+1} > s_n$ ):

$$\frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) > s_n$$

$$\implies \frac{s_n}{3} + \frac{1}{3} > s_n \implies 2s_n < 1 \implies s_n < \frac{1}{2}$$

as desired.

d. The limit exists because the sequence is decreasing and bounded below ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ). Let  $s$  denote the limit.

$$s = \frac{1}{3}(s + 1) \implies s = \frac{1}{2}$$

Given that  $t_1 = 1$  and  $t_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right)t_n$ :

- a. We'd like to show that the limit exists. Firstly, we can see that 0 is a lower bound for  $(t_n)$ . Inductively  $t_1 > 0$ , and if we suppose that  $t_n > 0$ , then since  $1 - \frac{1}{4n^2} > 0$  for all positive integer  $n$ , then  $t_{n+1}$  must also be greater than 0. Likewise, we can show that it's upper bounded by 1. Inductively,  $t_1 \leq 1$ , and if we suppose that  $t_n \leq 1$ , we have that

$$t_{n+1} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right) \leq 1$$

because  $4n^2 > 1$  for positive integer  $n$ .

Next, we will show that the sequence is also decreasing. Suppose that  $t_{n+1} > t_n$  for contradiction. Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right)t_n &> t_n \\ \implies \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right) &> 1 \\ \implies 4n^2 &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible since for positive integer  $n$ , we have that  $4n^2 > 1$ . Since  $t_n$  is a bounded decreasing sequence, the limit exists.

- b. We'd like to somehow guess the limit. We can rearrange our recurrence to get

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{4n^2 - 1}{4n^2}t_n = \frac{(2n+1)(2n-1)}{4n^2}t_n = \frac{(2n+1)(2n-1)(2n-1)(2n-3)}{4^2n^2(n-1)^2}t_{n-1}$$

We can extrapolate this pattern to get:

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{(2n+1)(2n-1)^2 \dots (3)^2(1)}{4^n(n!)^2}$$

The numerator can be expressed as

$$\frac{(2n+1)!(2n-1)!}{2^n n! 2^{n-1}(n-1)!} = \frac{(2n+1)!(2n-1)!}{2^{2n-1} n!(n-1)!}$$

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{(2n+1)!(2n-1)!}{2^{4n-1}(n!)^3(n-1)!}$$

I'm not sure how to evaluate this limit, or whether it can be simplified further, but for what it's worth:

$$t_6 = \frac{231231}{327680}$$

so the limit might be  $\frac{2}{3}$  or something

## Squeeze Test

We're given that  $(a_n), (b_n), (c_n)$  are sequences such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ . We'd like to show that  $\lim a_n = \lim c_n = L \implies \lim b_n = L$ . We know that given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $M, N$  such that  $\forall n > N : a_n > L - \epsilon$  and  $\forall m > M : c_m < L + \epsilon$ . Then for any  $n > \max(M, N)$ :

$$L - \epsilon < a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n < L + \epsilon$$

$$-\epsilon < b_n - L < \epsilon$$

$$|b_n - L| < \epsilon$$

We conclude that  $\lim b_n = L$