### 8.2.7.

For each  $(a,q) \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$I_{aq} = \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{c}{q^p}, \quad \frac{a}{q} + \frac{c}{q^p}\right] \cap [0, 1]$$

Note that  $m(I_{aq}) \leq \frac{2c}{q^p}$ . We are asked to show that the following is a null set:

$$\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \in I_{aq} \text{ for infinitely many } (a,q) \in \mathbb{N}^2\right\}$$

Pick  $A \in \mathbb{N}$  such that A > c + 1. For any  $(a, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,

$$a \ge qA \implies I_{aq} = \emptyset$$

Hence

$$\sum_{(a,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2} m(I_{aq}) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{qA} m(I_{aq})$$

$$\leq \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{qA} \frac{2c}{q^p}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} qA \frac{2c}{q^p}$$

$$= 2Ac \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{p-1}}$$

$$\leq 2Ac \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^2}$$

$$= 2Ac \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \frac{1}{q^2}$$

$$\leq 2Ac \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \frac{1}{(2^k)^2}$$

$$= 2Ac \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{(2^k)^2}$$

$$= 2Ac \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}$$

$$= 4Ac$$

$$< \infty$$

So, by the Borel-Tonelli theorem, the given set

$$\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \in I_{aq} \text{ for infinitely many } (a,q) \in \mathbb{N}^2\right\}$$

has measure zero.

# 8.2.9.

Let

$$E = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_n(x) \not\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \}$$

We will show that E has measure 0, thus proving the desired result. Importantly,

$$\forall x \in E \; \exists \varepsilon > 0 \; \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \; \exists n > N : \quad f_n(x) \ge \varepsilon$$

This yields

$$E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in E \mid x \in f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k}, \infty\right)\right) \text{ for infinitely many } n \right\}$$
$$m^*(E) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*\left(\left\{x \in E \mid x \in f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k}, \infty\right)\right) \text{ for infinitely many } n\right\}\right)$$

**Claim.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\left\{x \in E \mid x \in f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)\right) \text{ for infinitely many } n\right\}$$

is a null set by Borel-Tonelli. **Proof.** 

Pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Note that  $f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)\right)$  is measurable because  $f_n$  is measurable and  $\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)$  is open. Hence the function  $\frac{1}{k} \cdot \chi_{f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)\right)}$  is measurable. (In fact, it is simple.) It minorizes f, and therefore

$$m\left(f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)\right)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{k} \cdot \chi_{f_n^{-1}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)\right)$$
$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{4^n}$$
$$m\left(f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)\right)\right) \leq \frac{k}{4^n}$$

This holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m\left(f_n^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k},\infty\right)\right)\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{4^n}$$
$$= \frac{k}{3}$$
$$< \infty$$

Borel-Tonelli now proves the claim. We now see that

$$m^*(E) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 0$$
$$m^*(E) = 0$$

QED.

### 8.2.10.

We state and prove what I believe to be the general case.

# Theorem (Egoroff).

Hypotheses:

- $(X, S, \mu)$  is a measure space.
- The codomain of  $\mu$  is  $[0,\infty)$ . In particular,  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .
- (M, d) is a metric space.
- $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of functions  $X \to M$  which converge pointwise to a function f, and are measurable (i.e.  $f_n^{-1}(V) \in S$  for any open set  $V \subseteq M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Conclusion: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a set  $E \in S$  such that

- $m(E) \leq \varepsilon$
- The sequence  $f_n$  converges uniformly on  $E^c$ .

#### Note.

In the given problem,

- X = [0, 1].
- S is the set of Lebesgue-measurable subsets of [0, 1].
- $\mu = m|_S$ .
- $M = [0, \infty).$
- d is the standard metric inherited from  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- f is the constant 0 function.

#### Proof.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon$ . For each  $\gamma > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$F_{\gamma N} = \{ x \in X \mid n > N \implies d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \gamma \}$$

 $F_{\gamma N}$  is measurable (i.e. a member of S) since

$$F_{\gamma N} = \bigcap_{n>N} f_n^{-1}(B_{\gamma}(f(x)))$$

Claim. Let  $\gamma > 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mu(F_{\gamma N}) = \mu(X)$$

Proof.

If  $N \leq N'$  then  $F_{\gamma N} \subseteq F_{\gamma N'}$ . In other words, the sequence  $(F_{\gamma N})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is ascending. Since  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise to f throughout X, we have

$$\forall x \in X \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \quad x \in F_{\gamma N}$$
$$\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} F_{\gamma N} = X$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mu(F_{\gamma N}) = \mu(X)$$

Now let  $(\gamma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive numbers with infimum 0. For any sequence of natural numbers  $(N_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on the set

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{\gamma_k N_k}$$

Since  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , for each k there is an  $N_k$  such that

$$\mu(F_{\gamma_k N_k}) \ge \mu(X) - \varepsilon_k$$

Choose such an  ${\cal N}_k$  for each k and define

$$E = \left(\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_{\gamma_k N_k}\right)^c$$

Then  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on  $E^c$  and

$$\mu(E) = \mu\left(\left(\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} F_{\gamma_k N_k}\right)^c\right)$$
$$= \mu\left(\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} F_{\gamma_k N_k}^c\right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mu(F_{\gamma_k N_k}^c)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_k$$
$$\leq \varepsilon$$

Since  $\varepsilon>0$  was arbitrary, the theorem is proven.