2.

(a) See the proof below of Egoroff's theorem.

(b) Yes. See the proof below of Egoroff's theorem.

(c)

Let

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}x$$
$$f(x) = 0$$

 $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to f everywhere.

But, if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is unbounded,

then the convergence of $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ on E is not uniform.

Indeed, let $\varepsilon = 3$ and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

Then, letting n = N + 1,

we use the unboundedness of E to choose an $x \in E$ with $|x| \ge 3n$. This gives n > N and

$$\frac{|x|}{n} \ge 3$$
$$\left|\frac{x}{n}\right| \ge 3$$
$$\left|\frac{x}{n} - 0\right| \ge 3$$
$$\left|f_n(x) - f(x)\right| \ge 3$$

ε

Recalling that $N \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary,

we've shown that for any unbounded $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists x \in E \quad \exists n > N : \quad |f_n(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon$$

i.e. $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge uniformly on E.

So any $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ on which $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly must be bounded, hence its complement has infinite measure, so Egoroff's theorem fails.

(d)

Note: we will only use boundedness of K, not compactness.

Let d be a metric on \mathbb{R}^n and let $(D_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measurable subsets of \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i = \mathbb{R}^n$$
$$s \coloneqq \inf \{ m(D_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \} > 0$$
$$a \coloneqq \sup \{ \operatorname{diam}(D_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \} < \infty$$

(Diameters are taken using d.)

For example, we could take d to be the standard metric and D_i to be translates of $[0, 1)^n$; this would give s = 1 and $a = \sqrt{n}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\varepsilon_i > 0$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon$$

For each i, pick some $S_i \subseteq D_i$ such that

$$m(S_i) \le \varepsilon_i$$

 $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on $D_i\setminus S_i$

This is possible by Egoroff's. Letting $S = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$, we have

$$D_i \setminus S_i = D_i \setminus S$$
$$m(S) \le \varepsilon$$

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded (using the metric d) and define

$$I = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} \mid D_i \cap K \neq \emptyset \}$$

Lemma. I is finite. Proof.

Since K is bounded, we may pick r > 0 such that

$$B_r(0) \supseteq K$$

This gives

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists x \in D_i : \quad d(0, x) < r$$

Noting that

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x, y \in D_i: \quad d(x, y) < a$$

we apply the triangle inequality to obtain

$$\begin{array}{ll} \forall i \! \in \! \mathbb{N} & \forall y \! \in \! D_i : \quad d(0,y) < r+a \\ \\ \forall i \! \in \! \mathbb{N} : \quad D_i \subseteq B_{r+a}(0) \end{array}$$

This gives

$$\infty \ge m \left(B_{r+a}(0) \right)$$
$$\ge m \left(\bigsqcup_{i \in I} D_i \right)$$
$$= \bigsqcup_{i \in I} m(D_i)$$
$$\ge \sum_{i \in I} s$$
$$= |I|s$$

 $\infty > |I|s$

Since s > 0 we may divide by s to obtain

 $\infty > |I|$

I is finite.

Since I is finite and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on $D_i \setminus S_i$ for each $i \in I$, we have

$$(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$
 converges uniformly on $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} D_i\setminus S$

Hence it converges uniformly on the subset $K \setminus S$. QED.

Now we prove Egoroff's.

This will be similar to last time.

Definition.

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space and (T, τ) a topological space. A function $f : X \to M$ is **measurable** iff

 $\forall U \in \tau : f^{-1}(U) \in S$

In words, we require that the preimage of every open set be measurable.

Theorem (Egoroff).

Hypotheses:

- (X, Σ, μ) is a measure space.
- The codomain of μ is $[0, \infty)$. In particular, $\mu(X) < \infty$.
- (M, d) is a metric space.
- $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions $X \to M$ which converge pointwise almost everywhere to a function f, and are measurable.

Conclusion: for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a set $S \in \Sigma$ such that

- $m(S) \leq \varepsilon$
- $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on $X \setminus S$.

Note.

In the given exercise,

- X is an arbitrary box in \mathbb{R}^n (a) or an arbitrary finite-measure subset of \mathbb{R}^n (b).
- Σ is the set of Lebesgue-measurable subsets of X.
- $\mu = m|_{\Sigma}$.
- $M = \mathbb{R}^m$.
- d is the standard (Euclidean) metric on \mathbb{R}^m .

Proof.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon$. For each $\gamma > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$F_{\gamma N} = \{ x \in X \mid n > N \implies d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \gamma \}$$

 $F_{\gamma N}$ is measurable (i.e. a member of Σ) since

$$F_{\gamma N} = \bigcap_{n > N} f_n^{-1}(B_{\gamma}(f(x)))$$

Claim. Let $\gamma > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mu(F_{\gamma N}) = \mu(X)$$

Proof.

If $N \leq N'$ then $F_{\gamma N} \subseteq F_{\gamma N'}$. In other words, the sequence $(F_{\gamma N})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is ascending. Since $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to f almost everywhere, there exists a null set $Z \subseteq X$ such that

$$\forall x \in X \setminus Z \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \quad x \in F_{\gamma N}$$
$$\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} F_{\gamma N} = X \setminus Z$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mu(F_{\gamma N}) = \mu(X)$$

Now let $(\gamma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with infimum 0. For any sequence of natural numbers $(N_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on the set

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{\gamma_k N_k}$$

Since $\mu(X) < \infty$, for each k there is an N_k such that

$$\mu(F_{\gamma_k N_k}) \ge \mu(X) - \varepsilon_k$$

Choose such an N_k for each k and define

$$E = \left(\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_{\gamma_k N_k}\right)^c$$

Then $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on E^c and

$$\mu(E) = \mu\left(\left(\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}}F_{\gamma_k N_k}\right)^c\right)$$
$$= \mu\left(\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}F_{\gamma_k N_k}^c\right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(F_{\gamma_k N_k}^c)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\varepsilon_k$$
$$<\varepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the theorem is proven.

3.

Note that

$$||T|| = \sup \{ |T\mathbf{x}| \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\mathbf{x}| = 1 \}$$

 $|\cdot|_1$:

 $||T||_1$ is the largest 1-norm among column vectors of T, i.e.

$$M \coloneqq \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |T_{ij}| \ \middle| \ 1 \le j \le n\right\}$$

Proof: $||T||_1 \leq M$: Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\mathbf{x}|_1 = 1$.

$$|T\mathbf{x}|_{1} = |\mathbf{y}|_{1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{i}|$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij} x_{j} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |T_{ij}| |x_{j}|$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |T_{ij}| |x_{j}|$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(|x_{j}| \sum_{i=1}^{n} |T_{ij}| \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}| M$$

$$= M \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|$$

$$= M |\mathbf{x}|_{1}$$

$$= M$$

Since the unit vector ${\bf x}$ was arbitrary, this gives

$$||T||_1 \le M$$

 $||T||_1 \ge M$: Let j be such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |T_{ij}| = M$. Define \mathbf{x} so that $x_j = 1$ and all other components of \mathbf{x} are 0. Then $|\mathbf{x}|_1 = 1$ and

$$|T\mathbf{x}|_1 = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ T_{nj} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$||T||_1 \le |T\mathbf{x}|_1$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n |T_{ij}|$$
$$= M$$

QED. $|\cdot|_{\max}$

 $||T||_{\text{max}}$ is the largest 1-norm among row-vectors of T, i.e.

$$M' \coloneqq \max\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |T_{ij}| \; \middle| \; 1 \le i \le n \right\}$$

Proof:

 $\begin{aligned} ||T||_{\max} &\leq M': \\ \text{Let } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |\mathbf{x}|_{\max} = 1. \end{aligned}$

$$|T\mathbf{x}|_{\max} = |\mathbf{y}|_{\max}$$

= max {|y_i| | 1 ≤ i ≤ n}
= max { $\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij} x_{j} \right| | 1 \le i \le n$ }
 $\le \max \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |T_{ij}| | x_{j}| | 1 \le i \le n$ }
 $\le \max \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |T_{ij}| | 1 \le i \le n \right\}$
= M'

Since the unit vector ${\bf x}$ was arbitrary, this gives

 $||T||_{\max} \le M'$

$$\begin{split} ||T||_{\max} \geq M' \text{:} \\ \text{Pick } i \text{ such that } \sum_{j=1}^n |T_{ij}| = M' \end{split}$$

and let $x_j = \operatorname{sgn}(T_{ij})$ for each j.

$$||T||_{\max} \ge |T\mathbf{x}|_{\max}$$

$$= |\mathbf{y}|_{\max}$$

$$\ge |y_i|$$

$$= \left|\sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} x_j\right|$$

$$= \left|\sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} \operatorname{sgn}(T_{ij})\right|$$

$$= \left|\sum_{j=1}^n |T_{ij}|\right|$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n |T_{ij}|$$

$$= M'$$

QED.

4.