

MATH 105 HW 12.

1. I referenced math.purdue.edu/~marapura/preprints/diffforms.pdf.

Let ω be a 2-form in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then, it can be expressed as

$$\omega = f dx \wedge dy + g dz \wedge dx + h dz \wedge dy.$$

Since ω is assumed to be closed, $d\omega = f_z dz \wedge dx \wedge dy + g_y dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + h_x dx \wedge dz \wedge dy = (f_z + g_y - h_x) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0$

$$\Rightarrow f_z + g_y - h_x = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{f_z = h_x - g_y}$$

continuous deformation of functions

Define the following operation: (Purdue's notes called it homotopy)

$$\Pi_z(\omega) = \left(\int_0^z g(x, y, z) dz \right) dx + \left(\int_0^z h(x, y, z) dz \right) dy.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} d\Pi_z(\omega) &= \left(\frac{d}{dy} \left(\int_0^z g(x, y, z) dz \right) \right) dy \wedge dx + \left(\frac{d}{dz} \left(\int_0^z g(x, y, z) dz \right) \right) dz \wedge dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{d}{dz} \left(\int_0^z h(x, y, z) dz \right) \right) dz \wedge dy + \left(\frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_0^z h(x, y, z) dz \right) \right) dx \wedge dy \\ &= \left(\int_0^z (g_y - h_x) dz \right) dx \wedge dy + (g(x, y, z) - g(x, y, 0)) dz \wedge dx \\ &\quad + (h(x, y, z) - h(x, y, 0)) dz \wedge dy \end{aligned}$$

(over here, we did many switching of integrations and differentiations)

Since $f_z = h_x - g_y$, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\int_0^z f_z dz \right) dx \wedge dy + (g(x, y, z) - g(x, y, 0)) dz \wedge dx \\ &\quad + (h(x, y, z) - h(x, y, 0)) dz \wedge dy \\ &= (f(x, y, z) - f(x, y, 0)) dx \wedge dy + (g(x, y, z) - g(x, y, 0)) dz \wedge dx \\ &\quad + (h(x, y, z) - h(x, y, 0)) dz \wedge dy \\ &= \omega(x, y, z) - \omega(x, y, 0) \quad \text{next page} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtained $dH_2(w) = w(x, y, z) - w(x, y, 0)$

Taking derivative on both sides

$$0 = d^2 H_2(w) = dw(x, y, z) - d(w(x, y, 0)) \\ = -dw(x, y, 0) \quad \because w \text{ closed}$$

$\Rightarrow w(x, y, 0)$ is also closed.

Suffices to find an exact form for $w(x, y, 0)$, for if we are able to do so
i.e. $d\varepsilon_1 = w(x, y, 0)$, then $dH_2(w) = w - d\varepsilon_1 \Rightarrow d(H_2 w + \varepsilon_1) = w$
 $\Rightarrow w$ would be exact too.

$$\text{Let } H_4 w = \left(\int_0^y f(x, y, 0) dy \right) dx + \left(\int_0^y h(x, y, 0) dy \right) dz$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } dH_4 w &= \frac{d}{dz} \left(\int_0^y f(x, y, 0) dy \right) dz dx + \frac{d}{dy} \left(\int_0^y f(x, y, 0) dy \right) dy dx \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_0^y h(x, y, 0) dy \right) dx \wedge dz + \frac{d}{dy} \left(\int_0^y h(x, y, 0) dy \right) dy \wedge dz \\ &= \left(\int_0^y (f_z - h_x) dy \right) dz \wedge dx + (f(x, y, 0) - f(x, 0, 0)) dy \wedge dx \\ &\quad + (h(x, y, 0) - h(x, 0, 0)) dy \wedge dz \\ &= \left(\int_0^y (-g_y) dy \right) dz \wedge dx + (f(x, y, 0) - f(x, 0, 0)) dy \wedge dx \\ &\quad + (h(x, y, 0) - h(x, 0, 0)) dy \wedge dz \\ &= (-g(x, y, 0) + g(x, 0, 0)) dz \wedge dx + (f(x, 0, 0) - f(x, y, 0)) dx \wedge dy \\ &\quad + (h(x, 0, 0) - h(x, y, 0)) dz \wedge dy \\ &= w(x, 0, 0) - w(x, y, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Again, $0 = d^2 H_4 w = dw(x, 0, 0) - dw(x, y, 0) = dw(x, 0, 0) \quad \because w(x, y, 0) \text{ closed}$

$\Rightarrow w(x, 0, 0)$ closed. \therefore Suffices to find a closed form for $w(x, 0, 0)$

for if we can find ε_2 s.t. $d\varepsilon_2 = w(x, 0, 0)$, then

$$w(x, y, 0) = w(x, 0, 0) - dH_4 w = d\varepsilon_2 - dH_4 w \\ = d(\varepsilon_2 - dH_4 w)$$

$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 - dH_4 w$.

} next page.

$$\text{Let } H_x(w) = \left(\int_0^x f(x, 0, 0) dx \right) dy - \left(\int_0^x g(x, 0, 0) dx \right) dz.$$

$$\text{Then, } dH_x(w) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_0^x f(x, 0, 0) dx \right) dx \wedge dy + \frac{d}{dz} \left(\int_0^x f(x, 0, 0) dx \right) dz \wedge dy$$

$$- \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_0^x g(x, 0, 0) dx \right) dx \wedge dz - \frac{d}{dy} \left(\int_0^x g(x, 0, 0) dx \right) dy \wedge dz$$

$$= \left(\int_0^x f_y + f_z dx \right) dz \wedge dy + (f(x, 0, 0) - f(0, 0, 0)) dx \wedge dy \\ + (g(x, 0, 0) - g(0, 0, 0)) dx \wedge dz$$

$$= \int_0^x h_x dz \wedge dy + (f(x, 0, 0) - f(0, 0, 0)) dx \wedge dy \\ + (g(0, 0, 0) - g(x, 0, 0)) dx \wedge dz$$

$$= (h(x, 0, 0) - h(0, 0, 0)) dx \wedge dz \wedge dy \\ + (f(x, 0, 0) - f(0, 0, 0)) dx \wedge dy \\ + (g(x, 0, 0) - g(0, 0, 0)) dz \wedge dx \\ = w(x, 0, 0) - w(0, 0, 0)$$

$$\text{Hence } d^2 H_x(w) = dw(x, 0, 0) - dw(0, 0, 0) = -dw(0, 0, 0) \Rightarrow w(0, 0, 0) \text{ is exact.}$$

Hence, suffices to show $\exists \xi_3$ s.t. $d\xi_3 = w(0, 0, 0)$ for if that is the case, then $dH_x(w) = w(x, 0, 0) - d\xi_3 \Rightarrow w(x, 0, 0) = d(\xi_3 + H_x(w))$ is exact.

Note $w(0, 0, 0)$ is a constant 2-form in \mathbb{R}^3 i.e. in the form of $a dx \wedge dy + b dy \wedge dz + c dz \wedge dx$.

Note, if we let $\eta = ax dy + by dz + cz dx$,

$$\text{then } d\eta = a dx \wedge dy + b dy \wedge dz + c dz \wedge dx = w(0, 0, 0)$$

Hence $w(0, 0, 0)$ is exact $\Rightarrow w(x, 0, 0)$ is exact

$\Rightarrow w(x, y, 0)$ is exact

$\Rightarrow w(x, y, z)$ is exact.

The explicit construction can be obtained via reverse engineering of all the homotopy operators.