

1. Rational Zero Theorem (Ross §2),
 \mathbb{Z} .

Def: an integer coeff polynomial in x is

$$f(x) = C_n \cdot x^n + C_{n-1} \cdot x^{n-1} + \dots + C_1 \cdot x + C_0 \quad C_n, \dots, C_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ C_n \neq 0$$

\mathbb{Z} -coeff eq. is : $f(x) = 0$

one can ask: when does an \mathbb{Z} -coeff eq has roots in \mathbb{Q} .

[fact: a degree n polynomial has n roots in \mathbb{C} .

i.e. $\exists z_1, \dots, z_n$ in \mathbb{C} , such that.

$$f(x) = C_n (x - z_1) \dots (x - z_n). \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{it is possible} \\ \text{that some of the} \\ z_i \text{ coincide.} \end{array} \right)$$

Thm: If a rational number r satisfies the eq.

$$C_n \cdot x^n + \dots + C_1 x + C_0 = 0, \quad \text{with } C_i \in \mathbb{Z}, C_n \neq 0.$$

and $r = \frac{c}{d}$ (where c, d are co-prime integers). Then.

c divides C_0 , and d divides C_n .

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Ex: (1) } 5x + 3 = 0, \quad r = \frac{3}{5}, \quad c=3, \quad d=5. \\ \quad \quad \quad C_1=5, \quad C_0=3. \quad \text{yes.} \quad 3|3, \quad 5|5. \end{array} \right.$$

Pf: Plug in $x = \frac{c}{d}$, to eq.

$$C_n \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^n + C_{n-1} \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + C_1 \left(\frac{c}{d}\right) + C_0 = 0$$

multiply both sides by d^n , we get

$$C_n \cdot c^n + C_{n-1} \cdot c^{n-1} \cdot d + \dots + C_1 \cdot c \cdot d^{n-1} + C_0 \cdot d^n = 0.$$

$$(1) \quad \therefore C_n \cdot c^n = - (C_{n-1} \cdot c^{n-1} \cdot d + \dots + C_1 \cdot c \cdot d^{n-1} + C_0 \cdot d^n)$$

$$= -d (C_{n-1} \cdot c^{n-1} + \dots + C_0 \cdot d^{n-1})$$

$\therefore d$ divides $C_n \cdot c^n$.

since d and c are coprime, d does not divide c^n
 $\therefore d$ has to divide C_n . $d \mid c^n \checkmark$

$$(2) \quad C_0 \cdot d^n = - (C_n \cdot c^n + C_{n-1} \cdot c^{n-1} \cdot d + \dots + C_1 \cdot c \cdot d^{n-1})$$

$$= -c \cdot (C_n \cdot c^{n-1} + C_{n-1} \cdot c^{n-2} \cdot d + \dots + C_1 \cdot d^{n-1})$$

by similar reasoning, $c \mid C_0$. □

Using this rational zero thm: we can answer questions

claim: (Ex 4).

$\sqrt[3]{6}$ is not rational number.

$\Leftrightarrow x^3 - 6 = 0$ does not have rational roots.

Pf: The only possible rational sol'n $r = \frac{c}{d}$, needs

$c \mid 6$, $d \mid 1$, \therefore take $d=1$, $c = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6$.

one can test all of them, they don't solve the Eq

\therefore there is no sol'n in \mathbb{Q} . □

• \mathbb{R}

Historical construction of \mathbb{R} from \mathbb{Q} :

(1) Dedekind cut: (\mathbb{Q} : if $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, how to "save the

info" of $\sqrt{2}$?).

$$C_{\sqrt{2}} = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r < \sqrt{2}\}. \quad \text{a subset.}$$

moral: for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, consider $C_x = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r < x\}$.

one can define addition, multiplication on these subsets. C_x .

(2). Sequence in \mathbb{Q} i.e. to use a seq of rational numbers to "approximate" a real number.

e.g. $\sqrt{2}$ can be approx by

1, 1.4, 1.41, 1.414, - - - - - .

problem here: ① given any real number, how do you get such a seq?

② how to tell if 2 different sequences approx the same real number.

(e.g. $1 \leftarrow 1.1, 1.01, 1.001, \dots$

$1 \leftarrow 0.9, 0.99, 0.999, \dots$

or $1 \leftarrow 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots$)

the 3 sequences all have the same limit (what is a limit?)

• Given the existence of \mathbb{R} , we have properties (axioms) of \mathbb{R} .

• completeness of \mathbb{R} :

Given any subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, bounded above, there exist a unique $r \in \mathbb{R}$

① r is an upper bound of E

② for any other upper bound α , we have $r \leq \alpha$.

bounded above:
 $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$,
s.t. for
any $x \in E$,
we have
 $x \leq a$.

r is called the least upper bound, of E , $r = \sup E$.

(i.e. $\sup(E)$ is well defined for subset E that's bounded above)

Ex: $\sup([0,1]) = 1$, $\sup((0,1)) = 1$.

$$\underline{\sup(\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r^2 < 2\}) = \sqrt{2}}$$

Cor: (Archimedean property): For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$,
 $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n \cdot r > 1 \iff r > \frac{1}{n}$.



$+\infty, -\infty$: notations.

• With these symbols introduced, we can say

$$\sup(\mathbb{N}) = +\infty \iff \mathbb{N} \text{ is not bounded above.}$$

• $+\infty, -\infty$ are not real numbers. They have partly the operations that \mathbb{R} has. i.e.

$$3 \cdot (+\infty) = +\infty, \quad (-3) \cdot (+\infty) = -\infty.$$

but $(+\infty) + (-\infty) \neq \text{NaN}$. $(0) \cdot (+\infty) = \text{not defined}$.

Sequences and Limits :

• a sequence of real numbers $s_j: a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$,
denoted as $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ or shortened (a_n) .

note, use
 (\dots) , not
 $\{ \dots \}$

• we only care about the "eventual behavior"
of a seq.

- Def: A seq (a_n) converge to $a \in \mathbb{R}$, if
 $\forall \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}} > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that , $\forall n > N$,
 $|a_n - a| < \varepsilon$.

