

Hw 12

This is, like Jianzhi, based on the notes from Purdue.

Show it!

We want to show that a <sup>closed</sup> 2-form on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is exact, i.e. for

$$w = f dx \wedge dy + g dz \wedge dx + h dz \wedge dy$$

if  $dw=0$ , then there exists an  $\alpha$  st.  $d\alpha = w$ .

assuming  $dw=0$ , we find like with the 1-form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that

$$dw = f_z dz \wedge dx \wedge dy + g_y dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + h_x dx \wedge dz \wedge dy$$

$$= (f_z + g_y - h_x) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_z + g_y - h_x = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_z = h_x - g_y \quad *$$

We now define the following homotopy operation:

$$H_2(w) = \left( \int_0^z g(x, y, z) dz \right) dx + \left( \int_0^z h(x, y, z) dz \right) dy$$

Taking the exterior derivative and using  $*$  we find

$$dH_2(w) = \left( \int_0^z g_y dz \right) dy \wedge dx + \left( \int_0^z g_z dz \right) dz \wedge dx$$

$$+ \left( \int_0^z h_x dz \right) dx \wedge dy + \int_0^z h_z dz \right) dz \wedge dy$$

$$\text{By FTC} = \int_0^z (h_z - g_y) dx \wedge dy + [g(x, y, z) - g(x, y, 0)] dz \wedge dx$$

$$+ [h(x, y, z) - h(x, y, 0)] dz \wedge dy$$

$$\text{by } * = \int_0^z (f_z) dx \wedge dy + [g(x, y, z) - g(x, y, 0)] dz \wedge dx$$

$$+ [h(x, y, z) - h(x, y, 0)] dz \wedge dy$$

cont

$$\begin{aligned} \text{by FTC} \quad &= [f(x,y,z) - f(x,y,0)] dx \wedge dy + [g(x,y,z) - g(x,y,0)] dz \wedge dy \\ &+ [h(x,y,z) - h(x,y,0)] dz \wedge dx \\ &= w - w(x,y,0) \quad \leftarrow \text{short hand notation} \end{aligned}$$

so we have  $dH_z(w) = w - w(x,y,0)$  and

$$d^2 H_z(w) = dw - dw(x,y,0) = 0$$

and since  $dw = 0$ ,  $dw(x,y,0) = 0 \Rightarrow w(x,y,0)$  is closed.

Furthermore, if we can find an  $\alpha_1$ , s.t.  $d\alpha_1 = w(x,y,0)$ , then

$$dH_z(w) = w - d\alpha_1 \Leftrightarrow d(H_z(w) + \alpha_1) = w$$

Using the homotopy operation on  $w(x,y,0)$  w.r.t.  $y$  and  $x$  after each other we get

$$dH_y(w(x,y,0)) = w(x,y,0) - w(x,0,0)$$

$$dH_x(w(x,0,0)) = w(x,0,0) - w(0,0,0)$$

and using the same argument as previously we see that

- ① if  $\exists \alpha_2: d\alpha_2 = w(x,0,0) \Rightarrow w = d(H_z(w) + H_y(w(x,y,0)) + \alpha_2)$
- ② if  $\exists \alpha_3: d\alpha_3 = w(0,0,0) \Rightarrow w = d(H_z(w) + H_y(w(x,y,0)) + H_x(w(x,0,0)) + \alpha_3)$
- ③  $w(x,0,0)$  and  $w(0,0,0)$  are closed

The goal is now to find a  $\alpha_3$  s.t.  $d\alpha_3 = w(0,0,0)$

Observe that  $w(0,0,0)$  depends on no variables and is thus a 2-form with constant weights. This can be expressed in the form of

$$w(0,0,0) = a dx \wedge dy + b dz \wedge dy + c dy \wedge dz$$

let  $\alpha_3 = ax dy + by dz + cy dz$  be a 1-form on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then

$d\alpha_3 = w(0,0,0)$  and thus  $w$  is exact.