

Mw 10

12)

we are given

$$f_1(s, t) = (b + a \cos s) \cos t$$

$$f_2(s, t) = (b + a \cos s) \sin t$$

$$f_3(s, t) = a \sin s$$

with

$$f(s, t) = (f_1(s, t), f_2(s, t), f_3(s, t))$$

since $\sup\{\cos\} = \sup\{\sin\} = 1$, $\inf\{\cos\} = \inf\{\sin\} = -1$

The range of k is $([-b-a, b+a], [-b-a, b+a], [-a, a])$

a)

we first find that

$$\nabla f_1(s, t) = (-a \sin s \cos t, -(b + a \cos s) \sin t)$$

This is 0 when

$$\textcircled{1} a \sin s \cos t = 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} (b + a \cos s) \sin t = 0$$

for $\textcircled{1}$ This happens when $\sin s = 0$ or $\cos t = 0$

and for $\textcircled{2}$ when $\sin t = 0$. When $\sin t < 0$, $\cos t \neq 0$, so

for $\textcircled{1}$ $\sin s = 0$ is the only option. These hold when

$t = 0$ or $t = \pi$, $s = 0$ or $s = \pi$, for all those values

$f_2(s, t) = f_3(s, t) = 0$ fully, the points are

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b+a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b-a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -b+a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} b-a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

b)

we first find that

$$\nabla f_3(s, t) = (a \cos s, 0)$$

Thus $\nabla f_3(s, t) = 0$ when $\cos s = 0$ so $s = (\frac{1}{2} + k)\pi$ $k \in \mathbb{N}$

for all s' , $f_3(s, t) = 0$. Since t is still variable,

$f_2(s, t)$ and $f_1(s, t)$ can have all values in the range

Thus for all $q \in [-b \cos t, b \cos t] \times [-b \sin t, b \sin t] \times \{-a, a\}$,

$$t \in [0, 2\pi), \nabla f_3(f^{-1}(q)) = 0$$

c)

we have

$$f_1(s, t) = (b + a \cos s) \cos t$$

$$\nabla f_1(s, t) = (-a \sin s \cos t, -(b + a \cos s) \sin t)$$

we found the points

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b+a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b-a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -b+a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} b-a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

At these points we have $t = 0$ or $t = \pi$, $s = 0$ or $s = \pi$

at $t = 0$ $\cos t$ will only decrease with a change in t .

at $t = \pi$ it will only increase. For both, \sin changes in the same direction as t . This also holds for $s = 0$ and

$s = \pi$, Given $b > a > 0$, so $f(0, 0) > f(0, \pi)$ and

$f(\pi, 0) < f(\pi, \pi)$. By the above, \sin will flip sign,

so $f(0, 0)$ is a local maximum, $f(\pi, \pi)$ is a local minimum

and $f(\pi, 0)$ and $f(0, \pi)$ are saddle points.

Since The points are on the boundary only they

need to be considered (Maximum Value Theorem)

13)

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be differentiable, and $|f(t)| = 1 \quad \forall t$,

$$h(t) = f(t) \cdot f(t) = f(t)^2 = |f(t)|^2 = 1$$

Then

$$h'(t) = f'(t) \cdot f(t) + f'(t) \cdot f(t) = 0$$

$$\text{so } f'(t) \cdot f(t) = 0$$

Geometrically since $|f(t)| = \sqrt{f_1(t)^2 + f_2(t)^2 + f_3(t)^2}$ we would

we can think of $f(t)$ as mapping a value to a point on a unit sphere in 3D space. Since f is diff \Rightarrow cont, this mapping is a continuous curve on the surface. Think of $f'(t)$ as the normal vector to a point on the sphere $f(t)$.

14,

we have the equations.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad 3x + y - z + w^2 = 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x - y + 2z + w = 0$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad 2x + 2y - 3z + 2w = 0$$

we can write this as

$$f_1(x, y, z, w) = 3x + y - z + w^2$$

$$f_2(x, y, z, w) = x - y + 2z + w$$

$$f_3(x, y, z, w) = 2x + 2y - 3z + 2w$$

with $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$. We now wish to solve for $f(x, y, z, w) = 0$

in terms of different variables for this the implicit

$$x + y + z^2 = 0$$

$$2x + 3y - z = 0$$

$$y = -2x + z$$

$$x - 2x + z + z^2 = 0 \Rightarrow -x + z + z^2$$

$$x = \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{1}{3}z^2$$

$$-3\left(\frac{1}{3}z + \frac{1}{3}z^2\right) + z + z^2 = 0$$

function theorem comes in handy. By Rudin (I like his version better.) We can solve $f(x, y, z, w) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ in terms of x, y, z , or w if conditions are met: Let the variables we solve for be h and let the term be k . Then if $A_h = A|_{h=0}$ is invertible, we can write $f(x, y, z, w)$ as

$$f(x, y, z, w) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 & 2w \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = A v \quad v = (x, y, z, w)^T$$

we see that for $h = (x, y, w)$ $h = (x, z, w)$, and $h = (y, z, w)$

The submatrix A_h has non-zero determinant (Thank you Maple) for $w \neq \frac{2}{3}$. For $h = (x, y, z)$ $\det(A_h) = 0$, so by the implicit function theorem we can not solve wrt. w , but we can for x, y, z

Pugh 14

Honestly i don't even know where to begin with this question. My guess was to use characterization of diagonalizable matrices by linear independent eigenvectors. But even with this I do not know how to proceed. I will ask around and see if anyone has any ideas and correct my work if I get feedback.

24) we have

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy(x^2 - y^2)}{x^2 + y^2} & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

first of all $f(x, 0) = f(y, 0) = 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, 0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(0, y) = 0$ and $f(0, 0) = 0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(th, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^2 h^2 (t^2 h^2 - t^2)}{t^2 h^2 + t^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^4 h^4 - t^4 h^2}{t^2 (h^2 + 1)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^2 (h^4 - h^2)}{h^2 + 1} = 0$$

for $h \in \mathbb{R}$, so f is cont on \mathbb{R} . Now, since

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{y(x^4 + 4x^2 y^2 - y^4)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{x(x^4 - 4x^2 y^2 - y^4)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \quad f(0, 0) = 0$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(th, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(th, t) = 0$$

so Partial derivatives are continuous and differentiable, meaning second derivative exists, so partial ones do as well. But

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_x(0, 0+h) - f_x(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{-h}{h} = -1$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_y(0+h, 0) - f_y(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h}{h} = 1$$

$$\text{so } \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \neq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}.$$