HW10

Wei-Hsiang Sun

12.

Fix two real numbers a and b, 0 < a < b. Define a mapping $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ of \mathbf{R}^2 into \mathbf{R}^3 by

$$f_1(s,t) = (b + a\cos s)\cos t$$

$$f_2(s,t) = (b + a\cos s)\sin t$$

$$f_3(s,t) = a\sin s.$$

(a)

Show that there are exactly 4 points $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{K}$ such that

$$(\nabla f_1)(\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{p})) = \mathbf{0}$$

Proof. First note that

$$\nabla f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -a\sin s\cos t\\ -(b+a\cos s)\sin t \end{pmatrix}$$

Now consider when $\nabla f_1 = (\mathbf{0})$, then either

 $\begin{cases} \sin t = 0 \text{ and } \sin s = 0\\ \cos t = 0 \text{ and } \cos s = \frac{-b}{a} \text{ not possible since } b > a \end{cases}$

thus $t, s \in \{\pi, 0\}$.

(b)

Determine the set of all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{K}$ such that

$$(\nabla f_3)(\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})) = 0$$

Proof. We apply a similar approach to that of part (a), we first get

$$\nabla f_3 = a \cos s$$

Thus the corresponding solution goes is $s \in \{\pi/2, 3\pi/2\}$.

Show that one of the points **p** found in part (a) corresponds to a local maximu of f_1 , one corresponds to a local minimum and that the other two are neither. What about for (b)

Proof. We look at the second order total derivative and plug in the solutions obtained in (a)

$$D^{2}f_{1} = D \circ Df_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -a\cos s\cos t & a\sin s\sin t \\ a\sin s\sin t & (b+a\cos s)\cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

plugging in $t, s \in \{\pi, 0\}$. We get For (b) since we know the max value of f_3

t	\mathbf{s}	description
π	π	saddle
π	0	\min
0	π	saddle
0	0	max

would be when $\sin s = 1$, thus when $s = \pi/2$ we get the max, $s = 3\pi/2$ we get the min.

(c)

13

Suppose **f** is a differentiable mapping of \mathbf{R}^1 into \mathbf{R}^3 such that $|\mathbf{f}(t)| = 1$ for every t. Prove that $\mathbf{f}'(t) \cdot \mathbf{f}(t) = 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{f}(t)| &= 1\\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_i^2(t) &= 1\\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_i^2(t) &= 0\\ \Rightarrow 2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_i(t) f_i'(t) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus proven.

19

Show that the system of equations

$$3x + y - z + u2 = 0$$
$$x - y + 2z + u = 0$$
$$2x + 2y - 3z + 2u = 0$$

can be solved in terms of x, y, z respectively, but not u.

 $\mathit{Proof.}$ We write out the augmented matrix. In terms of x

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & u^2 & | & -3 \\ -1 & 2 & u & | & -1 \\ 2 & -3 & 2u & | & -2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & u^2 & | & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & -u^2 + 3 & | & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -u^2 + 4u + 3 & | & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

In terms of y

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & u^2 & | & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & u & | & 1 \\ 2 & -3 & 2u & | & -2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/3 & u^2/3 & | & -1/3 \\ 1 & 2 & u & | & 1 \\ 0 & -7 & 0 & | & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

In terms of \boldsymbol{z}

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & u^2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & u & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2u & 3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & u^2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & u & -2 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

In terms of \boldsymbol{u}

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 & | & -u^2 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & | & u \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & | & 2u \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & | & u^2 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & | & u \\ 0 & 4 & -7 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

y has to be written in z or the other way around in order for the equation to be solved. $\hfill \Box$

$$f(x,y) = \frac{xy(x^2 - y^2)}{x^2 + y^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} = (3x^2y - y^3)(x^2 + y^2)^{-1} + (x^3y + xy^3)(-1)(x^2 + y^2)^{-2}2x$$
$$= \frac{x^4y + 4x^2y^3 - y^5}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y} = (x^3 - 2xy^2)(x^2 + y^2)^{-1} + (x^3y - xy^3)(-1)(x^2 + y^2)^2(2y) \quad (1)$$
$$= \frac{x^5 - 4x^3y^2 + x^3y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \tag{2}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial f(0,0)}{\partial x} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h,0) - f(0,0)}{h} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial f(0,0)}{\partial y} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0,0+h) - f(0,0)}{h} = 0$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial f(0,0)}{\partial x \partial y} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\partial f(0,0+h)/\partial x - \partial f(0,0)/\partial x}{h} = -1$$
$$\frac{\partial f(0,0)}{\partial y \partial x} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\partial f(0+h,0)/\partial x - \partial f(0,0)/\partial x}{h} = 1$$

Thus the partial derivative exists but the the partial derivatives are different.

 $\mathbf{24}$