

Math 105 HW 5

8.2.7

First, note that for  $a > q$ ,  $\frac{a}{q} > 1$  implies that for  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $|x - \frac{a}{q}| > \frac{a}{q} - 1 = \frac{a-q}{q}$ . Then, since  $p > 2$ , for  $q \geq c$ ,  $\frac{c}{q^p} < \frac{c}{q^2} \leq \frac{1}{q}$ . Thus, since  $a - q > 0$ , and  $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $|x - \frac{a}{q}| > \frac{a-q}{q} \geq \frac{1}{q} > \frac{c}{q^2}$ . Thus, since  $c$  is fixed and finite,  $\{a, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a > q : |x - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \frac{c}{q^p}\}$  is finite for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Thus, we may consider just the cases where  $0 \leq a \leq q$ .

Now, define for  $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\Omega_{a,q} = \{x \in [0, 1] : |x - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \frac{c}{q^p}\}$$

Note that  $\Omega_{a,q} = [0, 1] \cap [\frac{c}{q^p} + \frac{a}{q}, \frac{c}{q^p} + \frac{a}{q}]$  so it is measurable. Thus,  $m(\Omega_{a,q}) \leq \frac{2c}{q^p}$ .

Since we consider just the cases where  $0 \leq a \leq q$ , note that for fixed  $q$ ,

$$\sum_{a=0}^q m(\Omega_{a,q}) = \frac{2c(q+1)}{q^p}$$

Then,  $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^q m(\Omega_{a,q}) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{2c(q+1)}{q^p} = 2c \left( \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{p-1}} + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^p} \right)$

which is finite because  $p > 2$  implies  $p-1 > 1$ , so both sums converge by the Integral Test.

Thus, by Borel-Cantelli,  $\{X \in \mathbb{R} : X \in \mathcal{I}_{a, \varepsilon} \text{ for infinitely many } a, \varepsilon\}$  has measure zero. By our definition of  $\mathcal{I}_{a, \varepsilon}$ , this proves the claim.

### 8.2.9

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E_n = \{X \in \mathbb{R} : f_n(X) > \frac{1}{2^n}\}$ . Since  $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : y > \frac{1}{2^n}\}$  is open, by the definition of a measurable function  $E_n$  is measurable.

We claim  $m(E_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$ . Assume not. Then,  $\int_{E_n} f_n \geq \int_{E_n} \frac{1}{2^n} = m(E_n) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} > \frac{1}{4^n}$

which is a contradiction, since  $\int_{E_n} f_n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n \leq \frac{1}{4^n}$ . Thus  $m(E_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$ .

Now, let  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . By subadditivity,  $m(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} = \varepsilon$ . Let  $X \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n(X) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Thus, taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(X) \leq 0$  so since  $f_n$  is non-negative,  $f_n(X)$  converges to 0, showing the result.

### 8.2.10

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon' > 0$  and  $S_N = \{x \in [0, 1] : f_n(x) \leq 1/m \forall n \geq N\}$ . Show  $f_n$  converges pointwise to zero,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N = [0, 1]$ . Thus since  $S_N \subseteq S_{N+1}$  for each  $N$ , by HW1  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m(S_N) = m([0, 1]) = 1$ .

Now, note that  $[0, 1] \setminus S_N = \{x \in [0, 1] : f_n(x) > 1/m$   
for some  $n \geq N\}$

and  $m(S_N) + m([0, 1] \setminus S_N) = 1$  etc.

By the previous,  $\exists N_m$  s.t.

$$m([0, 1] \setminus S_{N_m}) \leq \varepsilon'/2^m$$

Hence we have that

$$m(\{x \in [0, 1] : f_n(x) > 1/m \text{ for some } n \geq N_m\}) \leq \varepsilon'/2^m$$

Now, we let  $k = \lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon'} \rceil$  and let

$N = \max\{N_1, \dots, N_k\}$  where  $N_i$  is s.t.

$$m(\{x \in [0, 1] : f_n(x) > \frac{1}{i} \text{ for some } n \geq N_i\}) \leq \varepsilon'/2^i.$$

Let  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{x \in [0, 1] : f_n(x) > \frac{1}{i} \text{ for some } n \geq N_i\}$ .

$$\text{Note } m(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon'/2^i \leq \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then, for  $n \geq N$ ,  $f_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon'} = \varepsilon'$

$\forall x \in [0, 1] \setminus E$ , so by nonnegativity

$|f_n(x)| < \varepsilon'$ , so  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly

on  $[0, 1] \setminus E$  as desired.

Note:  $[0, 1] \setminus S_N$  is measurable because

it is the preimage of an open set,  
and hence so is  $S_N$ .

For the case where  $[0, 1]$  is replaced  
by  $\mathbb{R}$ , this is not true, as we can  
use the "moving bump" example. Let  
 $f_n(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [n, n+1]$  and 0 elsewhere.  
Then, for fixed  $x$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$ . But  
 $f_n$  cannot converge uniformly to 0, as  
 $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|f_{N+1}| = 1$  on  $[N+1, N+2]$ .