

Lecture 10

Last time we were trying prove the following theorem:

Theorem: let $0 < \alpha < 1/4$ and $x(\eta) = \int \eta^2 du$

(i) There exists an estimator \hat{x}_n such that

$$\sup_{\eta \in P(\alpha, M, B)} \mathbb{E}_\eta (\hat{x}_n - x(\eta))^2 \leq c(M, B) \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right)^{-\frac{4\alpha}{4\alpha+1}}$$

(ii) If for some estimator T_n , one has for some $\alpha' < 1/4$

$$\sup_{\eta \in P(\alpha', M, B)} \mathbb{E}_\eta (T_n - x(\eta))^2 \leq c \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right)^{-\frac{4\alpha'}{4\alpha'+1}}$$

Then $\exists \alpha' < \alpha$ s.t.

$$\sup_{\eta \in P(\alpha', M, B)} \mathbb{E}_\eta (T_n - x(\eta))^2 \geq c(M, B) \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right)^{-\frac{4\alpha'}{4\alpha'+1}}$$

Proof of (i):

We have laid down the general idea to prove (i).

Then we showed how to obtain a two point adaptation over any $0 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_0 < 1/4$. We now use that idea to solve (i).

Fix a $c > 1$ and let N be the largest integer s.t.

$$c^{N-1} \leq n^{1-\frac{2}{\log \log n}} \text{ i.e. } (N-1) \leq \frac{\log n}{\log c} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\log \log n} \right)$$

For $l=1, \dots, N$, let $2^{j_l} \sim d^{l-1} n^{-\frac{2}{4\alpha+1}}$ and let $\frac{d_l}{d_0}$ be the solution to $2^{j_l} = n^{\frac{2}{4\alpha+1}}$ $\Rightarrow k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{N-1}$ and $\frac{d_l}{d_0} > \dots > \frac{d_1}{d_0} > \dots > \frac{d_0}{d_0} = 1$

(1)

Also, $\exists c_1$ and c_2 s.t. $\frac{c_1 - \alpha l_2}{l_2} \in [c_1 \frac{l_2 - l_1}{\log n}, c_2 \frac{l_2 - l_1}{\log n}]$ for $l_2 > l_1$.

$$\text{Let } j_l^* \text{ be s.t. } 2^{j_l^*} \sim 2^{j_{l+1}^*} \text{ with } 2^{j_l^*} \sim (\log n)^{-\frac{1}{1+4\alpha l}} \\ \sim \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+4\alpha l}} \quad l=0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

Indeed $2^{j_l^*}$ increases with l (check this). Finally

$$\text{let } R(j_l^*) = \left(2^{j_l^*}/n^2\right) = \frac{(\log n)^{-\frac{1}{1+4\alpha l}}}{n^2}$$

Let,

$$\hat{l} = \min \{l : (\hat{x}_n(j_l^*) - \hat{x}_n(j_{l+1}^*))^2 \leq C^* \log n \frac{2^{j_l^*}}{n^2} \quad \forall l > \hat{l}\}$$

i.e. \hat{l} is the ^{surrogate for} first time the (bias)² goes below the variance.

Finally use the estimator $\hat{x}_n(j_{\hat{l}}^*)$. We now analyze the MSE of this estimator & show control at desired rate.

Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1/4)$. Find $l = 0, \dots, N-2$ s.t. $\alpha \in (\frac{\alpha}{l+1}, \frac{\alpha}{l})$

Indeed, this l depends on both α and n , and therefore, to be precise we should write $l(\alpha, n)$. However for the sake of brevity we omit such notation.

Now fix $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(x, M, B)$. We need to analyze

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{\hat{l}}^*) - x(\eta))^2) &= \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{\hat{l}}^*) - x(\eta))^2 I(\hat{l} \leq l+1)) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{\hat{l}}^*) - x(\eta))^2 I(\hat{l} > l+1)) \\ &= T_1 + T_2 \end{aligned}$$

Control of T_1 : This term corresponds to choosing a smoothness that is not lower than the actual smoothness.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{\hat{l}}^*) - x(\eta))^2 I(\hat{l} \leq l+1)) \\
 & \leq 2 \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{\hat{l}}^*) - \hat{x}_n(j_{l+1}^*))^2 I(\hat{l} \leq l+1)) \\
 & \quad + 2 \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{l+1}^*) - x(\eta))^2) \\
 & \leq 2c^* \log n \frac{2^{j_{l+1}^*}}{n^2} + 2c(M, B) \left((2^{-j_{l+1}^*} \alpha)^q + \frac{2^{j_{l+1}^*}}{n^2} \right) \\
 & \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
 & \quad (\text{by definition of } j_{\hat{l}}) \quad (\text{property of } \hat{x}_n(j_{l+1}^*)) \\
 & \quad - \cancel{\frac{4\alpha}{q+1} \cancel{4\alpha_{l+1}}} \\
 & \leq \left\{ 2c^* + 2c(M, B) \right\} \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right) \cancel{\frac{4\alpha_{l+1}}{q+1}} \\
 & \leq \left\{ 2c^* + 2c(M, B) \right\} c_2 \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{q+\alpha}} \quad \text{as } \alpha \in [\alpha_{l+1}, \alpha_l] \\
 & \quad \text{and} \\
 & \quad \alpha_l - \alpha_{l+1} \leq c_2 / \log n
 \end{aligned}$$

Control of T_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{\hat{l}}^*) - x(\eta))^2 I(\hat{l} > l+1)) \\
 & = \sum_{l'=l+2}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}_\eta ((\hat{x}_n(j_{l'}^*) - x(\eta))^2 I(\hat{l} = l')) \\
 & \leq \sum_{l'=l+2}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left((\hat{x}_n(j_{l'}^*) - x(\eta))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \mathbb{P}(\hat{l} = l') \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad p, q \geq 1 \text{ s.t.} \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the following lemma to complete the proof of the theorem.

Lemma: if $i' \geq l+2$,

$$P_\eta(\hat{i} = i') \leq C(M, B) / n$$

$$\text{and } E_\eta((\hat{x}_n(j_{i'}^*) - x(\eta))^2) \leq \{C(M, B, \omega)\}^2 \left(\frac{2^{j_{i'}^*}}{n^2}\right)^2$$

if C^* is chosen large enough based on B .

Proof: The proof of this lemma is exactly along the same lines as that of controlling $P(\hat{j} = j_1)$ for $\alpha = \alpha_0$ in the last lecture (lecture 9). Try it by yourself by using the U-statistic deviation inequality and Bernstein's inequality. You will also need to use Rosenthal's inequality. For the sake of reference, let me recall these results.

Hoeffding's inequality: Let x_1, \dots, x_n be independent mean θ random variables with $a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - E x_i)\right| > t\right) \leq 3 \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$

Rosenthal's inequality: Let x_1, \dots, x_n be independent r.v.'s s.t $E(x_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $q \geq 2$ be such that $E(|x_i|^q) < \infty \forall i \in [1, n]$. Then \exists universal $C(q) > 0$ s.t $E\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right|^q\right) \leq C(q) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n E|x_i|^q + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E|x_i|^2\right)^{q/2} \right\}$. (Actually $C(q) \leq \left(\frac{C_q}{\log(q)}\right)^q$)

Ref: V.V. Petrov (1995):

Limit Theorems in Probability Theory.

①

where C is a universal constant)

Proof of (ii): The proof the lower bound i.e. the fact that one necessarily pays a logarithmic price below $\frac{1}{4}$ smoothness relies on the following version of constrained risk inequality due to Cai and Low (2011)

Constrained Risk Inequality

The constrained risk inequality is a refined restatement of the minimax lower bound idea that we explored before. In a nutshell, it still says that if your functional takes certain values in ~~most~~ different parts of the distribution space such that you cannot efficiently distinguish/dict between these spaces using your data, then you can say something about error of estimating your functional.

More concretely ~~suppose~~ suppose $Z \sim P_\theta$, $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $\hat{Q} = \hat{Q}(Z)$ be an estimator of $Q(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ based on Z , having bias $B(\theta) := E_\theta(\hat{Q}(Z)) - Q(\theta)$. Now suppose Θ_0 and Θ_1 be a disjoint partition of Θ with priors π_0 and π_1 supported on them.

$$\text{Let } \mu_i = \int Q(\theta) d\pi_i(\theta)$$

$$\tau_i^2 = \int (Q(\theta) - \mu_i)^2 d\pi_i(\theta) \quad i=0, 1$$

Let π_0 be the marginal distribution Z under $\theta \in \Theta_0$ w.r.t some common dominating measure

$$\chi(\pi_0, \pi_1) = \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\pi_0} \left(\frac{\pi_1(Z)}{\pi_0} - 1 \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma (Cai & Low (2011)) If $\int \mathbb{E}_\theta (\hat{Q}(z) - Q(\theta))^2 d\pi_\theta(\theta) \leq \varepsilon^2$,
 Then

$$\left| \int B(\theta) d\pi_1(\theta) - \int B(\theta) d\pi_0(\theta) \right| \geq |M_1 - M_0| - (\varepsilon + T_0) \chi$$

Remark: since maximum risk is always as large as average risk, this will yield a broad lower bound on the minimax risk over \mathbb{H}_1 .

Application to prove (ii):

Let $H: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ function supported on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that $\int H(x) dx = 0$ and $\int H^2(x) dx = 1$, and let for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (to be decided later) take the translates of the interval $\frac{1}{k}[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ that are disjoint and contained in $[0, 1]$. Let x_1, \dots, x_{k^2} be the bottom left corners of these cubes and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \{-1, +1\}^k$. Let

$$\eta_{\lambda, \alpha}(x) = 1 + c_0 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^k x_j H((x - x_k)k) \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \{-1, +1\}^k$$

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{q}$$

As we have seen before, a properly chosen H guarantees $\eta_{\lambda, \alpha} \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, M, B)$. Let

$$\mathbb{H}_0^{(n)} = \{P^n : P \equiv \nu[0, 1]\}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_1^{(n)} = \{P^n : P = \eta_{\lambda, \alpha}, \lambda \in \{-1, +1\}^k\}$$

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{H}^{(n)} = \mathbb{H}_0^{(n)} \cup \mathbb{H}_1^{(n)}. \quad \phi : \mathbb{H}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi(P) = \int \left(\frac{dP}{d\lambda}\right)^2 d\lambda$$

(6)

Now, $\phi \equiv 1$ on $\Theta_0^{(n)}$. \Rightarrow For any prior π_0 on $\Theta_0^{(n)}$,

$$m_0 = \int \phi(p^n) d\pi_0(p^n) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_0 = 0.$$

Also, $\phi = C(H, G_0) \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\alpha'} + 1$ on $\Theta_1^{(n)}$ for any prior π_1

$$\Rightarrow m_1 = C(H, G_0) \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\alpha'} + 1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 = 0.$$

Now, $\Theta_0^{(n)} \subseteq \{p^n : p \in \mathcal{P}(G, M, B)\} \Leftrightarrow \alpha' = 0$.

\Rightarrow For any estimator \hat{x}_n , the π_0 -average bias over $\Theta_0^{(n)}$ is bounded by $\varepsilon = C \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha+1}}$. Therefore by the lemma,

the π_1 -average bias of \hat{x}_n over $\Theta_1^{(n)}$ must be at least as large as

$$|m_1 - m_0| = O \left(C \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha+1}} + o \right) \chi(x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}) \quad \text{where}$$

$$= C(H, G_0) \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\alpha'} - C \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha+1}} \chi(x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}) \quad x_0^{(n)} \text{ and } x_1^{(n)} \text{ are marginal densities of } (x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,n}) \text{ under } \pi_0 \text{ and } \pi_1$$

Now $\chi^2(x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)})$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_0^{(n)}} \left(\frac{x_1^{(n)}}{x_0^{(n)}} - 1 \right)^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{p_0^n} \left(\frac{1}{2^K} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{dp_{\lambda, \alpha'}^n}{dp_0^n} - 1 \right)^2 \quad p_0^n \leftrightarrow \text{product of } U[0,1]$$

$$p_{\lambda, \alpha'}^n \leftrightarrow \text{product of } P_{\lambda, \alpha'}$$

thus we have

learned to control in lecture 6.

Choosing $k = \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{c^* \log n}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2\alpha'+1}}$, we get

$$\chi^2(\gamma_0^{(n)}, \gamma_1^{(n)}) \leq n^{c' c^*} - 1 \quad \text{for some constant } c' > 0.$$

Now this means maximum ^{bias} over $\mathcal{H}_1^{(n)}$ is at least

$$c(H_1, c_0) \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right)^{-\frac{4\alpha'}{4\alpha'+1}} - c \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right)^{-\frac{4\alpha}{4\alpha+1}} n^{c' c^* - 1}$$

Now choose c^* small enough to guarantee

$$-\frac{4\alpha}{4\alpha+1} + c' c^* \geq -\frac{4\alpha'}{4\alpha'+1} \quad \text{i.e. } c' c^* < \frac{4\alpha}{4\alpha+1} - \frac{4\alpha'}{4\alpha'+1} \quad \text{which can be done since } \alpha > \alpha'. \blacksquare$$