

Lecture 4

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \sim \eta$ on $[0, 1]$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$.

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha, M) = \left\{ \eta : \int \eta d\mu = 1, \eta \geq 0, \begin{array}{l} |\eta(0)| \leq M \\ \sup_{x, y \in [0, 1]} \frac{|\eta(x) - \eta(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq M \end{array} \right\}$$

→ Intersection of the set of all densities with

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha, M) = \left\{ f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \sup_{x, y \in [0, 1]} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq M \right\}.$$

We will come to $\alpha \gg 1$ later. Let α, M be given to us. We shall also assume $\|f\|_\infty \leq M$.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ be an o.n.b. of $L_2(\mu) = \{f: \int f^2 d\mu < \infty\}$

We will make the choice of basis clear later.

For a given $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Pi(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k_0} e_\ell(x_1) e_\ell(x_2)$ be the orthogonal projection kernel onto $\text{lin}\{e_1, \dots, e_{k_0}\}$

Let L be a given closed subspace of $L_2(\mu)$. Let

$$\Pi_L g = \operatorname{argmin}_{l \in L} \int (g - l)^2 d\mu$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int (g - \Pi_L g) l d\mu = 0 \quad \forall l \in L$$

Indeed if $L = \text{lin}\{e_1, \dots, e_{k_0}\}$ then

$$\Pi_L g(x_1) = \int \Pi(x_1, x_2) g(x_2) d\mu(x_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \square \quad g(x_1) = \int \Pi(x_1, x_2) g(x_2) d\mu(x_2) \quad \forall g \in L.$$

~~we~~ We argued last time that we will use the "degenerate part" of $2\pi\pi(x_1, x_2)$ as our candidate for partial representation of $x_\eta^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$. Call the degenerate $D_\eta 2\pi\pi(x_1, x_2)$

Degeneracy means $\mathbb{E}_\eta \pi\pi(x_1, x_2)$

$$\mathbb{E}_\eta D_\eta 2\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) = \mathbb{E}_\eta D_\eta 2\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) = 0 \quad \forall x_1, x_2$$

check: $D_\eta 2\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) = 2(\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_2) + \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi\pi(x_1, x_2)))$

call this $\pi_\eta(x_1, x_2)$

Resulting estimator,

$$\hat{x}_n = x(\hat{\eta}) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\eta}(x_i) - x(\hat{\eta})) + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_{\hat{\eta}}(x_i, x_j)$$

Let's pay some attention to $\pi_{\hat{\eta}}(x_i, x_j)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}}(\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1) &= \int \pi(\bullet, x_1, x_2) \hat{\eta}(x_2) d\mu(x_2) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \int e_l(x_2) \hat{\eta}(x_2) d\mu(x_2) e_l(x_1) \\ &= \pi_L \hat{\eta}(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}}(\pi\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_2) = \pi_L \hat{\eta}(x_2)$$

$$\det \hat{\eta}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n e_l(x) e_l(x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_l(x_i) \right) e_l(x) \in L$$

$$\therefore \Pi_L \hat{\eta}(x_i) = \hat{\eta}(x_i)$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}}(\Pi(x_i, x_j) | x_i) = \hat{\eta}(x_i)$$

$$\text{Also, } \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}}(\Pi(x_i, x_j)) = \sum_{l=1}^k \langle \hat{\eta}, e_l \rangle^2$$

$$= \|\Pi_L \hat{\eta}\|_2^2$$

$$x(\hat{\eta}) = \mathcal{J} \|\hat{\eta}\|_2^2 = \|\Pi_L \hat{\eta}\|_2^2$$

$$\therefore \hat{x}_n = x(\hat{\eta}) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\eta}(x_i) - x(\hat{\eta}))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ \Pi(x_i, x_j) - \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}}(\Pi(x_i, x_j) | x_i) - \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}}(\Pi(x_i, x_j) | x_j) + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}}(\Pi(x_i, x_j)) \right\}$$

$$= x(\hat{\eta}) - 2x(\hat{\eta}) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\eta}(x_i)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \Pi(x_i, x_j) - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \hat{\eta}(x_i) - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \hat{\eta}(x_j)$$

$$+ x(\hat{\eta})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \Pi(x_i, x_j)$$

Analysis of the estimator,

$$\hat{\chi}_n = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \Pi(x_i, x_j)$$

$$\Pi(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k e_{\ell}(x_1) e_{\ell}(x_2) \quad \text{over our model}$$

$\mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$. Indeed we want to evaluate

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)} \mathbb{E}_{\eta} \left(\hat{\chi}_n - \chi(\eta) \right)^2$$

Fix $\eta \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$. Enough to uniformly bound

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\eta} \left(\hat{\chi}_n - \chi(\eta) \right)^2 &= \mathbb{E}_{\eta} \left(\hat{\chi}_n - \mathbb{E}_{\eta} \hat{\chi}_n \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\mathbb{E}_{\eta} \hat{\chi}_n - \chi(\eta) \right)^2 \\ &= \text{Var}_{\eta} \hat{\chi}_n + \left(\text{Bias}_{\eta} \hat{\chi}_n \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let's focus on the bias first.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\text{Bias}_{\eta} \hat{\chi}_n \right)^2 &= \left(\mathbb{E}_{\eta} \hat{\chi}_n - \chi(\eta) \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\|\eta\|_2^2 - \|\Pi_L \eta\|_2^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \|\Pi_{L^{\perp}} \eta\|_2^4 \end{aligned}$$

~~Var~~ $\text{Var}_{\eta} \hat{\chi}_n$ is a little trickier. For that we ~~will~~ make a small digression.

Write,

$$\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} (\pi(x_i, x_j) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j)))$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j) | x_i) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j)))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} [\pi(x_i, x_j) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j) | x_i) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j) | x_j) + \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j))]$$

$$= T_1 + T_2 \quad \mathbb{E}_\eta(T_1 T_2) = 0$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}_\eta \hat{x}_n = \mathbb{E}(T_1^2) + \mathbb{E}(T_2^2)$$

$$= \frac{4}{n} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left[\mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_1, x_2)) \right]^2$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} (\pi(x_i, x_j) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j) | x_i) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j) | x_j) + \mathbb{E}_\eta(\pi(x_i, x_j))) \right]^2 \quad (*)$$

~~For~~ For controlling the second term above we will have the following discussion.

Suppose $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim P$ on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) and

$f: \Omega^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable map which is symmetric in its arguments. ~~Ass~~

Suppose f is also degenerate w.r.t. P i.e.

$$\int f(x_1, x_2) dP(x_1) = \int f(x_1, x_2) dP(x_2) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x_1, x_2$$

Then

$$P^n(U_n f)^2 = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{P f^2}{\binom{n}{2}}$$

$$\text{Proof: } P^n(U_n f)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, j_1), \\ (i_2, j_2)}} \mathbb{E} \left(f(x_{i_1}, x_{j_1}) f(x_{i_2}, x_{j_2}) \right)$$

Now consider the case where ~~there~~ at least one of the indices is not repeated. ~~is~~ \neq Any such term vanishes by independence & degeneracy. The only terms that survive are when $(i_1, j_1) = (i_2, j_2)$. The number of such cases = $2n(n-1)$ which gives the result by symmetry. (note that both $((i, j), (j, i))$ & $((j, i), (i, j))$ contribute) \square

$$\text{For us, } f(x_1, x_2) = \Pi(x_1, x_2) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1) - \mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi(x_1, x_2) | x_2) + \mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi(x_1, x_2))$$

$$\text{Now } \mathbb{E}_\eta \left(\mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1) \right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2))$$

by Jensen's inequality.

$$\text{Also, } (a+b+c+d)^2 \leq 2(a+b)^2 + 2(c+d)^2 \leq 4(a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\eta \left(f^2(x_1, x_2) \right) \leq 16 \mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2))$$

Actually $f(x_1, x_2)$ is the projection of $\Pi(x_1, x_2)$ onto to space of degenerate functions of 2 variables & projections contract norm. But the above calculation is sufficient for our purposes.

Going back to (*),

$$\text{Var}_\eta \hat{\chi}_n \leq \frac{4}{n} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left[\mathbb{E}_\eta (\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1) - \mathbb{E}_\eta (\pi(x_1, x_2)) \right]^2 + \frac{32}{n(n-1)} \mathbb{E}_\eta (\pi^2(x_1, x_2))$$

~~Now, $\mathbb{E}_\eta \mathbb{E}_\eta$~~

$$\leq \frac{4}{n} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left[\mathbb{E}_\eta^2 [\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1] \right] + \frac{64}{n^2} \mathbb{E}_\eta [\pi^2(x_1, x_2)]$$

^{*}

$$\text{Now, } \mathbb{E}_\eta [\pi(x_1, x_2) | x_1] = \pi_L \eta(x_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_\eta \hat{\chi}_n \leq \frac{4}{n} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left((\pi_L \eta(x_1))^2 \right) + \frac{64}{n^2} \mathbb{E}_\eta (\pi^2(x_1, x_2))$$

$$\text{Now, } |\eta(x) - \eta(y)| \leq M |x - y|^\alpha \quad \forall x, y \in [0, 1] \quad \& \quad |\eta(0)| \leq M$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\eta\|_\infty \leq 2M$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mathbb{E}_\eta \left((\pi_L \eta(x_1))^2 \right) &= \int (\pi_L \eta(x_1))^2 \eta(x_1) d\mu(x_1) \\ &\leq 2M \int \|\pi_L \eta\|_2^2 \leq 2M \|\eta\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 8M^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_\eta \hat{\chi}_n \leq \frac{32M^3}{n} + \frac{64}{n^2} \mathbb{E}_\eta (\pi^2(x_1, x_2))$$

Now, $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2))$

$$= \iint \left(\sum_{l=1}^k e_l(x_1) e_l(x_2) \right)^2 d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2)$$

$$= \iint \sum_{l, l'} e_l(x_1) e_{l'}(x_1) e_l(x_2) e_{l'}(x_2) d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^k \|e_l\|_2^2 \|e_l\|_2^2 = k$$

We need $\mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2))$ when $P_\eta \ll \mu$ with
 $\|\eta\|_\infty \leq M$ and $\left\| \frac{dP_\eta}{d\mu} \right\|_\infty \leq M$.

We make use of the following lemma.

Lemma: $\mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2)) \leq 4(1 + \|\eta\|_\infty)^4 \mathbb{E}_\mu(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2))$

Actually for any measurable $f: \Omega^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

& probability measures $P \ll Q$,

$$P^n(U_n f)^2 \leq 2^m \left(1 + \left\| \frac{dP}{dQ} \right\|_\infty\right)^{2m} Q^n(U_n f)^2$$

~~Now~~ We will prove the lemma later. Now let us apply the lemma to analyze our variance.

$$\text{Var}_\eta \hat{\chi}_n \leq \frac{32M^3}{n} + \frac{64}{n^2} \mathbb{E}_\eta(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2))$$

$$\leq \frac{32M^3}{n} + \frac{256}{n^2} (1+M)^4 \mathbb{E}_\mu(\Pi^2(x_1, x_2))$$

$$\leq \frac{32M^3}{n} + \frac{256}{n^2} (1+M)^4 k$$

$$\leq c(M) \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{n^2} \right)$$

⇒ We have

$$\left(\text{Bias}_\eta \hat{x}_n \right)^2 \leq \| \Pi_{L^\perp} \gamma \|_2^4$$

$$\text{Var}_\eta \hat{x}_n \leq c(M) \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{n^2} \right)$$

Now, \exists basis of $L_2(\mu)$ s.t. $f \in H(\alpha, M)$

$$\Rightarrow \| \Pi_{L^\perp} f \|_\infty \leq c^* M k^{-\beta}$$

↑ universal constant. (We will talk about such

~~spans~~ bases soon)

For such bases, $\eta \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$

~~* Bias \hat{x}_n~~

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\eta \left(\hat{x}_n - x(\eta) \right)^2 \leq c^* M k^{-\beta} + c(M) \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{n^2} \right)$$

~~•~~ 50, if $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{4}$ choose $k = n^{\frac{2}{4\beta+1}}$ ⇒ $\text{MSE} \leq C'(M) n^{-\frac{2\beta}{4\beta+1}}$

if $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$ choose $k = n$ ⇒ $\text{MSE} = C'(M) \frac{1}{n}$

$$\mathbb{E}_P(f^2(x_1, x_2))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) \frac{dP}{dQ}(x_1) \frac{dP}{dQ}(x_2))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) \wedge(x_1, x_2)) \quad \wedge(x_1, x_2) = \frac{dP}{dQ}(x_1) \frac{dP}{dQ}(x_2)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) (\wedge(x_1, x_2) - \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2)) + \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2))))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) (\mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2) | x_1) + \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2) | x_2) - 2\mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2))))$$

$$+ \wedge(x_1, x_2) - \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2) | x_2) - \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2) | x_1) + \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2)) + \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge(x_1, x_2)))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2)) + \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) (\wedge(x_1, x_2) - \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge | x_1) - \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge | x_2) + \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge)))$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2)) + \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) \|\wedge(x_1, x_2)\|_\infty)$$

$$+ 2\mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) \|\wedge(x_1, x_2)\|_\infty) + \mathbb{E}_Q(\wedge | x_1) \|\wedge(x_1, x_2)\|_\infty$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2))$$

$$= 2\mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2)) + \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) \|\frac{dP}{dQ}\|_\infty^2)$$

$$+ 2\mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2) \|\frac{dP}{dQ}\|_\infty)$$

$$\leq 2(1 + \|\frac{dP}{dQ}\|_\infty) \mathbb{E}_Q(f^2(x_1, x_2))$$